

1 A I do recall that.

2 Q Do you believe that it would have been appropriate to  
3 apply a ground factor of 0.2 or 0.3 to your analysis  
4 of the Badger Hollow project?

5 A No.

6 Q Why not?

7 A The model that we use has been shown to predict  
8 conservatively with 0.5. I mean, 0.5 ground factor  
9 is used in probably -- well, with the exception  
10 perhaps of wind turbine projects which are different  
11 because the source is elevated. But for projects  
12 like a typical power plant, a solar plant where the  
13 sources are relatively close to the ground, I would  
14 say 90 to 99 percent of the studies use 0.5. And  
15 when consultants like myself go out and measure these  
16 plants after they're constructed to verify our  
17 modeling assumptions, that assumption checks out as  
18 being, if anything, overpredicting the levels. So  
19 there's no need to -- there would be no justification  
20 to use something like a .2 or .3 which would predict  
21 yet higher levels because we're already demonstrating  
22 that the model is probably overpredicting. So that  
23 would not be justified for those reasons.

24 MR. NOWICKI: Thank you. No further  
25 questions.