# COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

February 7, 2017 Mr. Daniel Wolf Executive Secretary Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101-2147

#### RE: Bent Tree Wind Farm Noise Monitoring – Phase 2 Monitoring Report Docket No. ET6657/WS-08-573

Dear Mr. Wolf:

The Department of Commerce's Energy Environmental Review and Analysis (EERA) staff submits the enclosed Bent Tree Wind Farm Phase 2 Post-Construction Noise Assessment Report (Report) pursuant to the Commission's August 24<sup>th</sup>, 2016 order requiring noise monitoring, noise study and further study to address noise-related complaints filed by three landowners regarding the Bent Tree project (E-dockets WS-08-573, Document ID <u>20168-124382-01</u>).

Under the direction of EERA, DNV GL-Energy completed noise monitoring at the Bent Tree Wind Farm in June of 2017. The resulting Bent Tree Wind Farm Post-Construction Noise Assessment report was filed in the dockets on September 28, 2017 (E-dockets WS-08-573, Document ID 20179-135856-01. Due to exceedances documented during the June 2017 monitoring period, an "on/off" measurement campaign was recommended to properly isolate wind turbine sound from total measured sound, consistent with Appendix A of the Large Wind Energy Conversion System (LWECS) Noise Study Protocol and Report.

In consultation with Commission staff, EERA requested that DNV GL-Energy complete this "on/off" monitoring in order to fulfill the Commission's August 24<sup>th</sup> order. The study was conducted in late November of 2017. During this campaign, wind turbine sound was isolated from total measured sound by conducting measurements with all turbines operational and also conducting measurements with a subset of wind turbines in proximity to complaint receptors turned off across a range of wind and atmospheric conditions. Turbine contribution to overall sound level was derived by logarithmically subtracting the "turbine on" from the "turbine off" measurements.

The results of the "on/off" campaign are documented in the attached Report. As indicated in the Report, if wind turbine contribution to total sound exceeds a level of 50 dBA at certain wind speeds, it can be reasonably determined that the wind turbines are the main contributor to exceedances during those conditions. The analysis shows that turbine contribution in excess of 50 dBA can occur at the Langrud property when hub height wind speeds reach 11.5 m/s and higher, and extrapolated data in the report shows similar trends for the Hagen property.

We believe that completion of this "on/off" monitoring campaign fulfills the Commission's August 24<sup>th</sup> order to conduct monitoring consistent with the guidance contained in the Large Wind Energy Conversion System Noise Study Protocol and Report. Because the report documents that there are periods during which Bent Tree Wind Farm turbines contribute significantly to total noise exceedances, EERA staff believes that noise standards indicated in Condition E. 3 of the Bent Tree Wind Farm LWECS site permit are not being met.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions concerning this letter.

Sincerely,

s/ Louise I. Miltich

Louise Miltich Energy Environmental Review and Analysis 85 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 280, Saint Paul, MN 55101 P: 651-539-1853 C: 651-470-1666

enclosure: Report: Bent Tree Wind Farm Phase 2 Post-Construction Noise Assessment

## DNV·GL

# BENT TREE WIND FARM Phase 2 Post Construction Noise Assessment

**Wisconsin Power and Light Company** 

Document No.: 10071686-HOU-R-01 Issue: B, Status: Final Date: 1 February 2018



#### IMPORTANT NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

- 1. This document is intended for the sole use of the Customer as detailed on the front page of this document to whom the document is addressed and who has entered into a written agreement with the DNV GL entity issuing this document ("DNV GL"). To the extent permitted by law, neither DNV GL nor any group company (the "Group") assumes any responsibility whether in contract, tort including without limitation negligence, or otherwise howsoever, to third parties (being persons other than the Customer), and no company in the Group other than DNV GL shall be liable for any loss or damage whatsoever suffered by virtue of any act, omission or default (whether arising by negligence or otherwise) by DNV GL, the Group or any of its or their servants, subcontractors or agents. This document must be read in its entirety and is subject to any assumptions and qualifications expressed therein as well as in any other relevant communications in connection with it. This document may contain detailed technical data which is intended for use only by persons possessing requisite expertise in its subject matter.
- 2. This document is protected by copyright and may only be reproduced and circulated in accordance with the Document Classification and associated conditions stipulated or referred to in this document and/or in DNV GL's written agreement with the Customer. No part of this document may be disclosed in any public offering memorandum, prospectus or stock exchange listing, circular or announcement without the express and prior written consent of DNV GL. A Document Classification permitting the Customer to redistribute this document shall not thereby imply that DNV GL has any liability to any recipient other than the Customer.
- 3. This document has been produced from information relating to dates and periods referred to in this document. This document does not imply that any information is not subject to change. Except and to the extent that checking or verification of information or data is expressly agreed within the written scope of its services, DNV GL shall not be responsible in any way in connection with erroneous information or data provided to it by the Customer or any third party, or for the effects of any such erroneous information or data whether or not contained or referred to in this document.
- 4. Any energy forecasts estimates or predictions are subject to factors not all of which are within the scope of the probability and uncertainties contained or referred to in this document and nothing in this document guarantees any particular energy output, including factors such as wind speed or irradiance.

#### **KEY TO DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION**

Strictly Confidential	:	For disclosure only to named individuals within the Customer's organization.
Private and Confidential	:	For disclosure only to individuals directly concerned with the subject matter of the document within the Customer's organization.
Commercial in Confidence	:	Not to be disclosed outside the Customer's organization.
DNV GL only	:	Not to be disclosed to non-DNV GL staff
Customer's Discretion	:	Distribution for information only at the discretion of the Customer (subject to the above Important Notice and Disclaimer and the terms of DNV GL's written agreement with the Customer).
Published	:	Available for information only to the general public (subject to the above Important Notice and Disclaimer).

Project name:	Bent Tree Wind Farm	DNV GL - Energy
Report title:	Phase 2 Post Construction Noise Assessment	Advisory Americas
Customer:	Wisconsin Power and Light Company	333 SW 5 <sup>th</sup> Ave., Suite 400
	31072 State Highway 13	Portland, OR 97204
	Hartland, MN 56042	Tel: 503-222-5590, x133
Contact person:	Andrew Hanson	Enterprise No.: 94-340223694-
Date of issue:	1 February 2018	340223694-3402236
Project No.:	10071686	
Document No.:	10071686-HOU-R-01	
Issue/Status	B/Final	

#### Task and objective:

This report presents the results of analysis conducted by DNV GL on behalf of Wisconsin Power and Light Company.

Prepared by:	Verified by:	Approved by:
Kristjan Varnik Project Engineer, Development and Engineering Services	Danny Schoborg Engineer, Development and Engineering Services	Shant Dokouzian, P.Eng. Principal Engineer, Development and Engineering Services
□ Strictly Confidential	Keywords:	
Private and Confidential	Sound, noise, m	easurement, post-construction
□ Commercial in Confidence		
DNV GL only		
Customer's Discretion		
Published		

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2018 Garrad Hassan America, Inc. All rights reserved.

Reference to part of this report which may lead to misinterpretation is not permissible.

Issue	Date	Reason for Issue	Prepared by	Verified by	Approved by
А	19 January 2018	Draft	K. Varnik	D. Schoborg	S. Dokouzian
В	1 February 2018	Final	K. Varnik	D. Schoborg	S. Dokouzian

### Table of contents

1 INTRODUCTION	6
1.1 Background	6
2 METHODLOGY	7
2.1 Environmental Sound Background	7
2.2 Minnesota Noise Limits	7
2.3 Instrumentation	9
2.4 Measurement Locations	9
2.5 Data Collection	2
2.6 Wind Speed Data 1	2
2.7 Noise Emission Data	4
3 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	5
3.1 Measurement Point BT-M01 - Langrud	5
3.2 Measurement Point BT-M02 - Hagen 1	7
4 DISCUSSION	1
5 CONCLUSION	3
6 REFERENCES	4

### Appendices

- APPENDIX A NEARBY TURBINES TO MEASUREMENTS POINTS
- APPENDIX B MEASUREMENT POINT PHOTOS
- APPENDIX C MICROPHONE WIND SPEED BINS
- APPENDIX D CALIBRATION SHEETS

### List of tables

Table 2-1 Minnesota Noise Standards	8
Table 2-2 Monitoring Equipment Serial Numbers by Monitoring Location	9
Table 2-3 Final Measurement Point Locations	10
Table 2-4 Shutdown Schedule	12
Table 2-5 Wind Speed Units Comparison	13
Table 2-6 Site Calibration Log	14
Table 3-1 BT-M01 Leg Sound Levels per Hub Height Wind Speed	16
Table 3-2 BT-M02 Leg Sound Levels per Hub Height Wind Speed	19

### List of figures

Figure 2-1 Map of Project and Monitoring Locations	10
Figure 2-2 Equipment Locations on Properties	11
Figure 3-1 BT-M01 Leq Sound Levels per Hub Height Wind Speed	15
Figure 3-2 BT-M01 Leq Frequency Content per Hub Height Wind Speed	16
Figure 3-3 BT-M02 Leq Frequency Content per Hub Height Wind Speed (Nighttime 11/23-11/24)	17
Figure 3-4 BT-M02 Leq Sound Levels per Hub Height Wind Speed	18
Figure 3-5 BT-M02 Leq Frequency Content per Hub Height Wind Speed (Nighttime 11/24-11/25)	. 20

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

The Department of Commerce, Energy, Environment Review and Analysis (EERA, formerly Energy Facilities Permitting) of the state of Minnesota has requested, on behalf of Wisconsin Power and Light Company ("WPL"), that Garrad Hassan America, Inc. (DNV GL) perform a second phase of post-construction noise assessment for the Bent Tree Wind Farm (the "Project"). The purpose of this Assessment is to determine the noise contribution of wind turbine generators on two nearby residences. The Project is in Freeborn County, MN, approximately 90 miles south of Minneapolis, consisting of 122 Vestas V82-1.65 MW wind turbine generators (WTG) with a hub height of 80 m and a rotor diameter of 82 m. The Project began operation in 2011.

#### **1.1 Background**

An audit was conducted in June 2017 by DNV GL [1], closely following the requirements under the "Guidance for Large Wind Energy Conversion System (LWECS) Noise Study Protocol and Report" ("Guidance") [2] issued by the EERA, in collaboration with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) Noise standards [3]. More specifically, the audit was conducted, at the request of the EERA, due to on-going complaints at two receptors within the Project Area; the Langrud ("BT-M01") and Hagen ("BT-M02") receptors. The June 2017 audit, which measured the total noise experienced at the receptors, concluded that:

"(...) 16 total hours of non-compliance with the LWECS Guidance were identified at BT-M01 and BT-M02. During most of the exceedance periods, bird sounds and/or wind induced sound on vegetation and tree leaves appear to be the primary contributor to the exceedances. Wind turbine sound appears to be audible in the recordings during some of the exceedance periods. However, as stipulated in Appendix A of the LWECS Guidance, further detailed investigations would be necessary to assess the contribution of the wind turbines to the total sound levels experienced at the receptors.

As such, it was recommended to perform an additional measurement campaign to properly isolate wind turbine sound from total measured sound. This can be achieved by conducting measurements where a subset of wind turbines in proximity of the complaint receptors are turned off and on under various wind and atmospheric conditions."

As requested by the EERA, a second assessment (the "Phase 2 Assessment"), was undertaken with the primary goal of isolating the wind turbine sound from the total measured sound. The noise measurement protocol for this campaign was approved by EERA before measurements were undertaken [4].

### **2 METHODLOGY**

#### **2.1 Environmental Sound Background**

Sound levels are expressed in the decibel unit and are quantified on a logarithmic scale to account for the large range of acoustic pressures to which the human ear is exposed. A decibel (dB) is used to quantify sound levels relative to a 0 dB reference. The reference level of 0 dB is defined as a sound pressure level of 20 micropascals (µpa), which is the typical lower threshold of hearing for humans.

Sound levels can be presented both in broadband (sound energy summed across the entire audible frequency spectrum) and in octave band spectra (audible frequency spectrum divided into bands). Frequency is expressed in the Hertz unit (Hz), measuring the cycles per second of the sound pressure waves. The audible range of humans spans from 20 to 20,000 Hz. Since the human ear does not perceive every frequency with equal loudness, spectrally varying sounds are often adjusted with a weighting filter. The A-weighting filter is applied to closely approximate the human ear's response to sound. This scale is commonly used in environmental and industrial sound. Sound expressed in the A-weighted scale is denoted dBA. All values in this report are presented in A-weighted scales, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

A sound source has a certain sound power level rating which describes the amount of sound energy per unit of time. This is a basic measure of how much acoustical energy it can produce and is independent of its surroundings. Sound pressure is created as sound energy flows away from the source. The measured sound pressure level (SPL) at a given point depends not only on the power rating of the source and the distance between the source and the measurement point (geometric divergence), but also on the amount of sound energy absorbed by environmental elements between the source and the measurement point (attenuation). Sound attenuation factors include meteorological conditions such as wind direction, temperature, and humidity; sound interaction with the ground; atmospheric absorption; terrain effects; diffraction of sound around objects and topographical features; and foliage.

In order to determine the sound contribution from the wind turbine on the receptor, it is necessary to quantify the ambient background sound level, and then subtract it logarithmically from the total measured sound level. Background sound levels may be highly variable in rural areas and may be dependent on atmospheric conditions including wind speed and wind direction.

#### 2.2 Minnesota Noise Limits

The regulations applicable to the Project are the Minnesota Noise Standards [3].

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) 7030.0040 Noise Standards state the following:

#### 7030.0040 Noise Standards.

**Subpart 1. Scope**. These standards describe the limiting levels of sound established on the basis of present knowledge for the preservation of public health and welfare. These standards are consistent with speech, sleep, annoyance, and hearing conservation requirements for receivers within areas grouped according to land activities by the noise area classification (NAC) system established in part 7030.005. However, these standards do not, by themselves, identify the limiting levels of impulsive

noise needed for the preservation of public health and welfare. Noise standards in subpart 2 apply to all sources.

#### Subpart. 2. Noise Standards.

	Daytime		Nighttime	
Noise Area Classification	L50	L10	L50	L10
1	60	65	50	55
2	65	70	65	70
3	75	80	75	80

**Table 2-1 Minnesota Noise Standards** 

The Project is considered under noise area classification 1, which includes homes, other residential uses, religious activities, and educational services. Therefore, the applicable nighttime L50 limit is 50 dBA and the nighttime L10 limit is 55 dBA at each receptor. Daytime L50 and L10 limits are 60 dBA and 65 dBA, respectively.

Sound pressure levels can be reported in a variety of ways. L50 and L10 represent noise levels that are exceeded 50% and 10% of the time, respectively. Leq represents the average sound over a period of time. L50, L10, and Leq sound pressure levels can be reported in dB, or weighted units such as dBA or dBC. The A-weighted curve (i.e. dBA) is used to duplicate the sensitivity of the human ear to sound. In other terms, dBA is the most accurate unit to replicate how a human ear translates sound pressure waves, originating from ambient sound and wind turbine sound, into sound levels. The Minnesota Noise Standards are expressed in dBA, as are the sound levels within this document. The noise standard applies to one hour survey periods, meaning that for any given one-hour period, the L50 and L10 levels shall not exceed Table 2-1.

The above sound limits are for "total" sound, or in other terms, the contribution of the Project combined with the ambient sound. As discussed in Section 1.1, if "total" sound exceedances are measured, further detailed investigations are necessary to isolate the contribution of the wind turbines to the total sound levels experienced at the receptors. The focus of this assessment is to determine the wind turbine contribution.

It should be noted that acoustic specifications for wind turbines are provided in terms of Leq, as well as measurements and results to determine the wind turbine contribution. Due to the generally constant nature of wind turbine sound, when reported over long periods, the Leq results are a reasonable approximator of L50, however careful consideration should be applied when comparing the two metrics.

It should be noted that the Minnesota wind turbine guidance [2] does not state what level of turbine only contribution is acceptable, i.e. compliant, but suggests investigating if the wind turbines are the main contributor to the total sound during exceedances. If the contribution of the wind turbines exceeds an Leq level of 50 dBA at certain wind speeds, it can be reasonably determined that the wind turbines are the main contributor to L50 total noise exceedances during those conditions. Lower wind turbine contribution levels, but close to this threshold, could be viewed as impactful as well, but determining which level is impactful is outside the scope of this present study.

### **2.3 Instrumentation**

The instrumentation used for the post-construction noise monitoring included the following:

- Larson Davis sound meters model 831 Class I;
- FreeField 1/2 inch microphone model 377B02;
- Preamplifier model PRM831;
- Vaisala Weather Transmitter model SEN-031;
- Larson Davis Precision Acoustic on-site Calibrator model CAL200; and
- Complete kit for outside sound measurement (including large tripods, 7" wind and rain screen, protective Pelican case, solar panels, and long range batteries).

The sound meters meet the IEC 61672 Class 1 specifications. All instruments had a valid calibration, and calibration sheets are included in Appendix C of this document. Based on the above descriptions, the instrumentation complies with the requirements of the Guidance and Minnesota regulations [3]. The weather sensor was appropriately heated with an autonomous power supply in order to ensure accurate data was gathered during low temperatures. Pictures of the monitoring set-ups are including in Appendix B.

Table 2-2 provides the serial numbers of the equipment used at each monitoring location.

Monitoring location	Sound Level Meter	Preamplifier	Microphone
Langrud (M01)	3172	019221	159539
Hagen (M02)	2661	10895	158436

#### Table 2-2 Monitoring Equipment Serial Numbers by Monitoring Location

#### **2.4 Measurement Locations**

On-site monitoring was conducted at the two receptors, identified as BT-M01 and BT-M02. Table 2-3 summarizes the selected monitoring locations, which were at the same properties as the initial audit in June 2017. Since the foliage had changed between November and June, BT-M01 was repositioned on the same property to avoid potential noise issues from a nearby evergreen tree. The new location was 135 ft (41 meters) north of the old location but approximately the same distance to the nearest wind turbine. Although the new location was placed in an area more commonly traversed by the landowner, this location was not preferable for monitoring during the June 2017 period due to deciduous foliage and avian wildlife. Table 2-3 provides the coordinates of the monitoring locations.

Figure 2-1 presents a general overview map of the measurement locations in relation to the Project. Figure 2-2 provide locations for the equipment on the properties. Pictures of the monitoring locations are included in Appendix B.

Final Measurement Point	Receptor Address	Easting	Northing	Distance to nearest turbine, ft	Notes
Langrud (BT-M01)	25887 – 705 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Alden, MN 56009	463000	4841960	350 m (1150 ft) from Turbine 362	Closest wind turbine is West
Hagen (BT-M02)	70286 – 290 <sup>th</sup> Street, Hartland, MN 56042	462949	4847019	465 m (1525 ft) from Turbine 132	Closest wind turbines are NE and SE

**Table 2-3 Final Measurement Point Locations** 

UTM NAD83 zone 15



Figure 2-1 Map of Project and Monitoring Locations





DNV GL – Document No.: 10071686-HOU-R-01, Issue: B, Status: Final www.dnvgl.com

### **2.5 Data Collection**

Just over one week (9 days) of data was collected from 21 November 2017 to 30 November 2017. For two nighttime periods during the monitoring period, as defined in Table 2-4, all wind turbines within a 2.4 km (1.5 mile) radius were parked under medium to high wind conditions to allow collection of ambient noise conditions. This represents 73 out of the 122 operational turbines.

Only nighttime data was used throughout the assessment as detailed in further Sections below, since it is typically at night that domestic and traffic activities are at its lowest. As such, this allows for less interference or intrusive sounds that require filtering, and a better "signal-to-noise" ratio, i.e. a stronger level of total noise vs ambient level which can provide a more accurate understanding of turbine only contribution. Sound contribution from wind turbines vary primarily with hub height wind speed and not with time of day; the sound contribution from the turbines estimated with nighttime data, applies to daytime as well.

Each day, wind speed forecasts were reviewed to select the best opportunities to measure ambient sound levels, i.e. with the wind turbines parked, during relevant wind speeds. As such, wind turbines were parked from November 23<sup>rd</sup> 10 p.m. to November 24<sup>th</sup> 4 a.m. and on November 25<sup>th</sup> from 12 a.m. to 7 am. There were other periods when wind turbines were not operational due to hub height wind speeds below the cut-in level. Measurements during these periods were excluded in the analysis. Operational logs provided by EERA from the Project operator confirm the shutdown periods occurred [5]. Aside from the forced curtailment periods, all wind turbines within 2.4 km (1.5 miles) were operational during the measurement campaign.

Wind Turbine Forced Curtailment Window			
Start Time End Time			
23 November 2017, 10 p.m.	24 November 2017, 4 a.m.		
25 November 2017, 12 a.m. 25 November 2017, 7 a.m.			

**Table 2-4 Shutdown Schedule** 

#### 2.6 Wind Speed Data

The Minnesota LWECS Guidance does not have a defined method for determining the wind turbine contribution at the receptors, including the wind speed range relevant for data analysis.

Wind turbine sound changes with respect to hub height wind speeds. Wind turbine manufacturers provide sound data sorted in separate bins based on the wind speed (i.e. when the hub height wind speed is x, the sound power level of the turbine is y). A wind speed bin is a narrow range of wind speeds to group data in a more meaningful way for further analysis; for example, data acquired under hub height wind speeds ranging from 9.6 m/s to 10.5 m/s would be grouped under the 10 m/s wind speed *bin*, and so forth.

The IEC-61400-11 Edition 3 standard [6] is the internationally recognized standard for determining the wind turbine sound power level. Its method for determining the relevant hub height wind speed range is specific to the wind turbine. It is defined as the hub height wind speeds ranging from 0.8 to 1.3 times the wind speed occurring at 85% of the rated wind turbine power. The rated power for the Vestas V82 is 1650 kW, and 85% rated power is approximately 1400 kW which occurs at approximately a 10 m/s hub height wind speed, or wind speed *bin*. To account for the 0.8 and 1.3 range, the relevant range for acoustic testing

would equate to hub height wind speed bins from 8 m/s (17.9 mph) to 13 m/s (29.0 mph). Therefore, the current analysis aimed at acquiring data samples within this range, but also at a hub height wind speed bin of 7 m/s (15 mph), to help identify acoustic trends at slightly lower wind speeds. It should be noted that measuring wind turbine sound at higher hub height wind speeds can be difficult due to increased wind-induced sound on the microphone at ground level, and the less-frequent occurrence of those elevated winds speeds.

Hub height wind speed data was collected from operational logs obtained by EERA from the plant operator [5]. The logs were provided for the two closest wind turbine generators at each location. Two anemometers on each wind turbine nacelle provided measured wind speeds at hub height. The electrical output of the wind turbine as well as the turbine power curve, which relates electric power output to hub height wind speeds, was also provided as another means to extract hub height wind speed. DNV GL used the nacelle anemometers that were in best agreement with wind speeds derived by the electrical output and power curve. These were anemometers #2 on turbines #362 (BT-M01) and #132 (BT-M02).

Wind speed data at the microphone level was collected from an in-situ weather station. This is described as the microphone wind speed and is different from the hub height wind speed. The Vaisala units monitor wind speed and wind direction, temperature, relative humidity, and precipitation. Precipitation data obtained onsite was compared to the nearest NOAA station, and on-site data broadly concurred. Due to the benefit of the in-situ weather stations, the local data was used for initial data filtering, in lieu of data from a distant NOAA station, as it is more accurate for data filtering at the microphone level. Wind speed measurements at the microphone and at hub height are inherently related, but not directly correlated. Wind speed can vary significantly at different elevations depending on atmospheric conditions, and the influence of nearby structures and landscape.

It should be noted that units in this report are generally provided in metric units, to suit the international standards that govern certification. However, Table 2-5 provides a comparison between wind speed in miles per hour and meters per second to suit English units.

Miles per hour (mph)	Meters per second (m/s)
1	0.4
2	0.9
3	1.3
4	1.8
5	2.2
6	2.7
7	3.1
8	3.6
9	4.0
10	4.5
11	4.9
12	5.4
13	5.8
14	6.3

#### Table 2-5 Wind Speed Units Comparison

Miles per hour (mph)	Meters per second (m/s)
15	6.7
16	7.2
17	7.6
18	8.0
19	8.5
20	8.9

#### **2.7 Noise Emission Data**

Free field microphones connected to sound level meters were installed on tripods approximately 1.8 m (6 feet) above ground, and site calibration was performed before and after each monitoring period. The differential calibration was not greater than 0.5 dBA as per Table 2-6. Photos of sound equipment stations at each point are included in Appendix B.

Monitoring	End-of-campaign site calibration					
location	Date	Differential (dBA)				
Langrud (BT-M01)	30 November 2017	0.10				
Hagen (BT-M02)	30 November 2017	-0.09				

#### Table 2-6 Site Calibration Log

Sound measurements were made continuously using a FAST response setting and were averaged and stored every 10 seconds, along with the relevant statistics for that period. Sound events louder than 60 dBA were recorded as an audio file as well for further analysis. Periods with intruding intermittent background noise (as from aircraft) were omitted.

Because environmental sound measurements are greatly influenced by wind-induced sound, the measurement stations included an oversized 175 mm (7 in) foam wind screen, as per industry standards. This enabled the measurement of sound (without significant wind-induced sound effects on the microphone) in microphone winds up to 5 m/s (i.e. 11 mph) at the measurement level. The LWECS Guidance states that measurements taken during winds higher than 11 miles per hour at microphone height should not be used in a measurement campaign.

Hub height wind speed binning of the sound level data was the primary method of analysis, and binning based on microphone wind speed was used as a supplemental data set to confirm wind turbine noise contribution trends. Hub height binning of data is the preferred method since wind turbine sound is directly related to hub height wind speed. Microphone hub height wind speed binning more accurately reflects ambient sound on the ground. It is worth noting, as described in sections below, that sorting data based on the microphone wind speeds showed similar sound levels during the turbine parked periods, similar increasing noise levels as wind speeds increased and similar turbine contributions levels.

### **3 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS**

#### 3.1 Measurement Point BT-M01 - Langrud

BT-M01 is in the southern-central region of the Project area. The property is characterized by a series of small buildings including a residence, garage and workshop with a gravel dirt driveway connecting them. A series of evergreen trees on the western side serves as a windbreak. The deciduous trees scattered across the property had lost their foliage prior to the measurement period.

Measurement results are presented per hub height wind speed bins below. The data presented is a subset of the overall dataset, filtered for nighttime and downwind from the nearest wind turbine (#362) to the west. The applied downwind filter is +/- 45 degree from the wind turbine (winds coming from the 225° to 315° True North direction) to include all western wind directions. This also consists of the direction range that is not shielded by the buildings on the property. The downwind filter is used as it provides a clearer and more accurate representation of turbine noise contribution on this property. Excluded data also includes microphone height wind speeds greater than 11 mph, intruding intermittent background noise, and the beginning and end of the curtailment periods. There were no periods of precipitation during the nighttime periods.

The number of 10 second samples is presented for periods with the turbines on (i.e. "total" noise) and off (i.e. ambient background sound during forced curtailment). The acoustic average and standard deviation of the turbine on periods and turbine off periods is also provided. It can be observed that the turbine off data remains fairly constant, due to the significant shielding at the Langrud property. The data shows a slight upward trend at hub height wind speeds above 10 m/s. The turbine on periods from the turbine off periods. This is presented visually in Figure 3-1 and as a data table in Table 3-1. The bold line represents the average noise level, while the dotted line represents the standard deviation.



Figure 3-1 BT-M01 Leq Sound Levels per Hub Height Wind Speed

		Turbine Off			Turbine On		
Hub Height Wind Speed (m/s)	Average Level (dBA)	Number of Samples	Standard Deviation (dBA)	Average Level (dBA)	Number of Samples	Standard Deviation (dBA)	Turbine Contribution (dBA)
7	41	530	2.8	41	2524	1.5	-
8	43	1124	2.6	42	1068	1.4	-
9	42	781	3.4	44	2075	2.4	39
10	41	349	2.7	48	1554	3.7	47
11	42	464	1.7	49	1545	3.1	48
12	42	189	1.4	53	1042	2.7	52
13	43	42	1.5	55	564	2.5	55

Table 3-1 BT-M01 Leq Sound Levels per Hub Height Wind Speed

From the results, the turbine contribution at the property would be in excess of 50 dBA at hub height wind speeds equal and above the 12 m/s wind speed bin at hub height, and the turbine contribution is considered a main contributor to exceedances under the assumptions of this report.

A supplemental evaluation is provided under Appendix C, where the same filtered data is binned per microphone wind speed. Similar trends and turbine contribution values were calculated. A more pronounced upward trend in turbine off sound was measured, as expected.

Figure 3-2 presents the 1/3 octave band 10 second Leq for all nights, filtered similarly by wind direction and for the 10 and 13 m/s hub height wind speed bins. The A-weighted data presents a notable difference between the turbine on (solid) and turbine off (dotted) levels for frequencies under 8000 Hertz.



Figure 3-2 BT-M01 Leq Frequency Content per Hub Height Wind Speed

#### 3.2 Measurement Point BT-M02 - Hagen

BT-M02 is located in the central region of the project area. An evergreen windbreak is located on the northern side of the property. There are many mature deciduous trees on the property, but the trees had lost their foliage prior to the measurement period.

During the first night of forced curtailment ("turbines off"), the winds were primarily from the southsouthwest direction and the noise levels between periods with the turbines on and turbines off were very similar. Under these conditions, the turbine contribution could not be determined. The 10 second A-weighted sound Leq were measured in a range between 46 dBA and 58 dBA. Figure 3-3 presents the 1/3 octave band 10 second Leq from the first night of operation for the 10, 11 and 12 m/s hub height wind speed bins. The A-weighted data demonstrates a concentration of acoustic energy between 100-200 Hz regardless of whether the turbines were operational or not, which resulted in high measured sound levels. There were minor differences between the turbine on and turbine off levels for this night, with some turbine off binned data being louder than turbine on data. This indicates that the turbines were not the significant contributor for the night, and indicates a possibility that when winds are from the south-southwest, there is a strong predominance of low frequency sound on the property which does not originate from the wind turbines.



Figure 3-3 BT-M02 Leq Frequency Content per Hub Height Wind Speed (Nighttime 11/23-11/24)

As such, Figure 3-3 presents measurement results per hub height wind speed bins, but exclude turbine off data from the first night of forced curtailment (23 to 24 November). The data presented is a subset of the overall dataset, filtered to improve signal-to-noise ratio by only including western and northwestern wind directions between 250 and 350 degrees, with turbine off data and turbine on data coming from similar directions. These measurements were screened by the trees and the house, but still present the most consistent results at this property. The 10 second measured sound levels at the Hagen residence were highly variable, with 10 second A-weighted Leqs between 28 dBA and 58 dBA during periods of parked turbines.

The downwind filter also effectively filtered away data from the first night of turbine off measurements. Excluded data also includes microphone height wind speeds greater than 11 mph, intruding intermittent background noise, and the beginning and end of the curtailment periods. There were no periods of precipitation for the whole period.

Turbine off noise levels at wind speeds above 10 m/s at hub height were not captured on the second night of forced curtailment (25 November), therefore a preliminary extrapolation was used to estimate turbine on sound levels, and estimate turbine contribution. Standard polynomial curves were not an acceptable fit to the observed wind speed and noise measurement data relationship, so a linear trend line was applied and used to estimate the noise levels at hub wind speeds up to 13 m/s.

The number of 10 second samples is presented for periods with the turbines on (i.e. "total noise") and off (i.e. ambient sound during forced curtailment). The acoustic average and standard deviation of the turbine on periods and turbine off periods is provided. The turbine contribution is determined by logarithmically subtracting the average noise level for the turbine on periods from the turbine off periods. This is presented visually in Figure 3-4 and as a data table in Table 3-2. The bold line represents the average noise level, while the dotted line represents the standard deviation.



Figure 3-4 BT-M02 Leq Sound Levels per Hub Height Wind Speed

		Turbine Of	F		Turbine On		
Hub Height Wind Speed (m/s)	Average Level (dBA)	Number of Samples	Standard Deviation (dBA)	Average Level (dBA)	Number of Samples	Standard Deviation (dBA)	Turbine Contribution (dBA)
7	36	231	3.0	42	2539	2.5	41
8	33	993	2.7	42	1568	1.8	41
9	33	432	2.7	43	1235	1.5	43
10	36	584	2.2	46	1114	2.2	46
11	37*	0	-	48	1059	3.2	48*
12	38*	0	-	50	653	2.2	50*
13	40*	0	-	51	550	1.6	51*
		* Represents	s theoretical r	esults from	extrapolated va	alues	-

Table 3-2 BT-M02 Leq Sound Levels per Hub Height Wind Speed

Preliminary analysis indicates that the turbine contribution may exceed 50 dBA at higher hub height wind speeds, and be considered a significant contributor to exceedances under the assumptions of this report. However, this was not specifically measured. The extrapolated results show the turbine is a significant contributor above 12 m/s, but there is increased uncertainty in the extrapolation process when compared to noise measurements.

A supplemental evaluation is provided under Appendix C, where the same filtered data was binned per microphone wind speed. Turbine off data were not extrapolated for the supplemental analysis, but similar trends and turbine contribution values were calculated for the measured data. A more pronounced upward trend in turbine off sound was measured, as expected.

Figure 3-5 presents the A-weighted third octave band for the 10 m/s hub height wind speed bin, filtered for wind direction between 250 and 350 degrees for the second night of curtailment, to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. The A-weighted data presents a notable difference between the turbine on (solid) and turbine off (dotted) levels for frequencies under 2000 Hertz.



Figure 3-5 BT-M02 Leq Frequency Content per Hub Height Wind Speed (Nighttime 11/24-11/25)

#### **4 DISCUSSION**

The Minnesota LWECS guidance are based on total noise levels. When exceedances occur, additional noise assessments are required to determine if nearby wind turbines are significant contributors. In this assessment, the turbine contribution of the sound level was derived by logarithmically subtracting the turbine on from the turbine off measurements. In instances when the turbine Leq contribution is over 50 dBA, it is reasonable to determine that turbines are significant contributors (See Section 2.2).

The sound levels on the two properties were highly variable. As stated in the 2011 Bent Tree acoustic study – "Background levels in rural areas are highly variable and usually highly dependent on the specific wind and atmospheric conditions occurring at a particular moment. "[7]. This was also observed in the June 2017 DNV GL study [1]. However, by analysing the frequency content of the measured signal, filtering by wind direction, sorting by hub height wind speed, and having a good comparison set of on & off data, the assessment shows that turbines are the primary contributor to the acoustic environment under certain atmospheric conditions for Langrud, and potentially Hagens.

Measured turbine contribution in excess of 50 dBA occurred at the Langrud property (BT-M01) at hub height wind speeds of 12 m/s and 13 m/s, while the turbines were operational. When compared to noise levels during turbine off periods, it was also determined that the turbines contribute significantly to total noise exceedances.

Measured exceedances of total noise at the Hagen property occurred with the turbines off and turbines on. During the first night of the measurements with turbines parked, turbine off levels were louder than turbine on levels. This demonstrates that under some conditions, there is significant noise in the area not caused by turbine operations. On the second night when turbines were off, hub height wind speeds were lower (i.e. not exceeding 10 m/s) and turbine contribution was detectable, however, turbine contribution in excess of 50 dBA was not measured. The resultant data set did not provide turbine off measurements at higher hub height wind speeds. Based on the filtered information from this measurement program, it is difficult to infer the turbine off noise levels at elevated hub height wind speeds with high confidence. The turbine off noise data at lower wind speeds demonstrated a weak relationship when using polynomial and linear regression, yet a linear fit was applied for extrapolating into higher wind speeds bins. Although it is likely that the turbine contribution remains significant at the higher wind speeds, it cannot be stated decisively based on the observed data since the turbine off data used in the analysis captures only one night at lower wind speeds. Additional noise measurements, under different wind speeds and directions, would provide for a more robust assessment of wind turbine noise contribution at this property.

A trend was observed at both properties, where the turbine contribution increased beyond 85% rated power. Modern pitched wind turbines typically reach their maximum noise levels at 85%-90% of rated power, after which the noise levels remain fairly constant or occasionally slightly decrease. This is due to the feathering of the blades in higher wind speeds, which limits the rotational speed of the rotor and reduces torque. Therefore, the aerodynamic noise typically does not increase. The Vestas V82 is an active-stall wind turbine. The wind turbine uses a combination of blade pitch control, but also blade stall technology. Stall technology can lead to increased sound level emission at higher wind speeds. Therefore, the observed trend of increased noise beyond 85% of rated power, is likely explained by the wind turbine technology. Based on the information in this report, it is observed that turbine contribution exceedances begin to occur in the 12 m/s hub height wind speed bin, which includes wind speeds from 11.5 m/s and up. A preliminary acoustic model was developed for the Project in order to determine which turbines would be curtailed [4]. The modeling was done with the CadnaA acoustic propagation software, which uses the ISO 9613-2 model [8]. Model results indicate that the cumulative contribution from all nearby turbines on the Langrud property should be 1-2 dB higher than the Hagen property, for a given hub height wind speed. This preliminary comparative analysis did not consider foliage, property layout or atmospheric conditions. The model did suggest while many turbines have the potential to contribute to the cumulative noise within the acoustic environment, the primary contributor of wind turbine noise at Langrud is the nearest wind turbine (#362) and the primary contributors of wind turbine noise at Hagen are the nearest two turbines (#132) and (#397).

### **5 CONCLUSION**

DNV GL has completed this Phase 2 post-construction noise assessment in accordance with the Phase 2 Post-Construction Noise Measurement Study Protocol (DNV GL Protocol) [3]. Relevant aspects of the IEC 61400-11 Ed 3 standard for wind turbine sound level measurements were also used as reference. More specifically, the assessment was requested by EERA due to an audit conducted in June 2017 [1] which showed total noise exceedances at two complaint receptors within the Project Area; the Hagen and Langrud receptors.

Based on the measured data and analysis, turbine contribution in excess of 50 dBA Leq can occur at the Langrud property when hub height wind speeds reach 11.5 m/s and higher, while extrapolated data shows similar trends for the Hagen property. Sound levels vary greatly depending on hub height wind speed, and wind direction to a lesser degree. Additional data collection would confirm which hub height speeds and direction combination cause exceedances, and would help validate the preliminary findings at the Hagen residence during higher wind speeds.

It is probable that the nearest turbine to the Langrud property is the primary contributor during exceedances. As well, preliminary findings indicate that the two turbines nearest the Hagen property may also contribute to noise exceedances, based on the turbine contribution calculations at lower wind speeds.

#### **6 REFERENCES**

- [1] DNV GL. Bent Tree Wind Farm: Post-Construction Noise Measurement Assessment. File No 10046144-HOU-R-02-B, 30 August 2017.
- [2] Minnesota Department of Commerce, Energy Facilities Permitting. Guidance for Large Wind Energy Conversion System Noise Study Protocol and Report. 8 October 2012.
- [3] Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. A Guide to Noise Control in Minnesota Acoustic Properties, Measurement, Analysis and Regulation. 2008, including Minnesota Administrative Rules 7030.0040 Noise Standards. Posted 12 December 2003; <u>https://www.revisor.leg.state.mn.us/rules/?id=7030.0040</u>.
- [4] DNV GL. Bent Tree Wind Farm: Phase 2 Post-Construction Noise Measurement Study Protocol. 27 September 2017.
- [5] Turbine curtailment and wind speed records sent by email from Andrew Hanson, Alliant Power to L. Miltich, Minnesota Department of Commerce, S. Dokouzian, DNV GL, 5 December 2017, "Sound Study Data.xlsx", "Power Curve.xlsx".
- [6] International Electrotechnical Commission. IEC 61400-11 Wind Turbine Generator Systems Part 11: Acoustic Measurement Techniques. 07 November 2012.
- [7] Survey of Operational Sound Levels Bent Tree Wind Farm. Hessler Associates, Inc. June 17, 2011.
- [8] International Organization for Standardization. ISO 1996-2: Acoustics Description, measurement, and assessment of environmental noise Part 2: Determination of environmental noise levels. 2008.

#### **APPENDIX A – NEARBY TURBINES TO MEASUREMENTS POINTS**

Turbines within 2.4 km (1.5 miles) of each measurement point are listed in the table below.

Turbine ID	Easting	Northing	Corresponding Measurement Point
T212	463605	4843373	Langrud (M01)
T215	463487	4842910	Langrud (M01)
Т220	463543	4842412	Langrud (M01)
Т233	462250	4842035	Langrud (M01)
Т235	462002	4842033	Langrud (M01)
Т236	461353	4841760	Langrud (M01)
Т239	462266	4841548	Langrud (M01)
T241	464857	4842126	Langrud (M01)
T242	464133	4841911	Langrud (M01)
Т323	461124	4842656	Langrud (M01)
T324	461661	4842451	Langrud (M01)
T325	464357	4842609	Langrud (M01)
T362	462655	4842008	Langrud (M01)
T368	464659	4842396	Langrud (M01)
T369	464424	4842187	Langrud (M01)
T380	462503	4841682	Langrud (M01)
T383	461749	4841732	Langrud (M01)
T385	461300	4842304	Langrud (M01)
T427	464076	4842611	Langrud (M01)
T440	464399	4843200	Langrud (M01)
T122	462032	4848134	Hagen (M02)
T123	461789	4848005	Hagen (M02)

T127	463548	4848137	Hagen (M02)
T131	463816	4847430	Hagen (M02)
T132	463324	4847251	Hagen (M02)
T134	464343	4848417	Hagen (M02)
T135	463846	4848264	Hagen (M02)
T141	464733	4847071	Hagen (M02)
T151	461771	4846535	Hagen (M02)
T152	461356	4846831	Hagen (M02)
T161	463727	4845838	Hagen (M02)
T162	463592	4845462	Hagen (M02)
T163	462497	4845748	Hagen (M02)
T166	461883	4845729	Hagen (M02)
T168	464023	4846121	Hagen (M02)
T169	464341	4845718	Hagen (M02)
T170	464102	4845475	Hagen (M02)
T283	462210	4846230	Hagen (M02)
T284	462537	4846228	Hagen (M02)
T285	464575	4845843	Hagen (M02)
T357	462865	4846369	Hagen (M02)
T358	463725	4846605	Hagen (M02)
T359	464791	4846106	Hagen (M02)
Т397	463111	4846525	Hagen (M02)
T420	463205	4847812	Hagen (M02)
T422	461531	4846450	Hagen (M02)
T436	461228	4846459	Hagen (M02)
T437	461406	4846058	Hagen (M02)

<b>T456</b> 462723         4845507         Hagen (M02)
--

UTM NAD83 Zone 15

### **APPENDIX B – MEASUREMENT POINT PHOTOS**



**BT-M01** facing West

BT-M01 facing South



**BT-M02 facing Northwest** 



BT-M02 facing West

### **APPENDIX C – MICROPHONE WIND SPEED BINS**

Appendix C contains measured nighttime sound levels sorted into microphone wind speed bins, by the nearest whole integers. Microphone measurements above 5 m/s were omitted from the noise study. As a result, the 5 m/s bin contains values from 4.5 to 5 m/s only.

The Langrud property was filtered to include wind directions from the west +/- 45 degrees, to concentrate on contributions from the nearest turbines and avoid shielding from the buildings.

The Hagen property was filtered with a directional filter from 250 to 330 degrees. This was done to remove the first night of measurements with the turbines off as further discussed in 3.2, and improve signal-to-noise ratio.

		Turbine OFF			Turbine On			
Microphone Wind Speed (m/s)	Average Level (dBA)	Number of Samples	Standard Deviation (dBA)	Average Level (dBA)	Number of Samples	Standard Deviation (dBA)	Turbine Contribution (dBA)	
1	41	658	2.8	41	6549	2.9	34	
2	41	1318	2.2	46	4389	3.5	44	
3	44	1420	2.0	50	2680	3.2	49	
4	44	25	2.0	55	693	3.2	55	
5	41*	1*	-	56	26	2.6	56*	

#### Table C-1 Measured Results Binned per Ground Wind Speed for BT-M01 Langrud

\* – There is higher uncertainty in result since there is only one sample

#### Table C-2 Measured Results Binned per Ground Wind Speed for BT-M02 Hagen

		Turbine Off			Turbine On		
Microphone Wind Speed (m/s)	Average Level (dBA)	Number of Samples	Standard Deviation (dBA)	Average Level (dBA)	Number of Samples	Standard Deviation (dBA)	Turbine Contribution (dBA)
1	34	1678	2.7	43	7728	2.7	43
2	37	676	2.5	46	2096	3.3	46
3	40*	1*	-	49	664	2.6	49*
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	53	282	1.6	N/A
5	N/A	N/A	N/A	55	75	1.2	N/A
	N/A - * – TI	<ul> <li>Not applicable</li> <li>nere is higher ur</li> </ul>	No measure ncertainty in r	ments were cap esult since ther	tured in this ra e is only one sa	nge. mple	·

**APPENDIX D – CALIBRATION SHEETS** 

This document cert Procedure PRD-P26 Octave Band Class () 61260-2001 Class ()	ifies that I 3; ANSI S1 3: S1,25-19 : 61,252-200	he Instrument .4-1983 (R 200 )91; IEC 61672- )2	relerenced foe 6) Type 1; S1.4 2002 Class 1;	alow meets put 4A 1985; S1.43 :60651-2001 Ty	allshed spec -1997 Type 1 ype 1; 60804-	ifications ip (; \$1,11-20 2000 Type		
Monulacture:	La	rson Davis	Temp	erature:	75.1	۶F		
Model Number:			831				23.94	
Serial Number:		3172	Rel. H	lumidity:	45.8			
Customer:	— ті	MS Rental	Press	ure:	922.1	mbars		
Description:		Sound Lev	el Meter		922.1	hPa		
Nate:		As For	ind / As Left: 1	In Tolerance		_		
Calibration Stands	irds Used:					D.		
Calibration Stands	irds Used:					_		
Manufacturer		L		sein's Misseshan III	f 'al	1 11 11 2		
Manufacture	20	Madel	Sc	nini Number	Çal	Due .		
Manufactum Stanford Research Larson Dav	er Systems is	Marki DS360 2239	50	erial Number 123270 109	499 492	12017		
Manufactor Stanford Research Larson Dav This Certificate attests t Equipment (M&TE) Star Museurement Standard traceability and accurac accuracy ratio between exocods the manufactur in ereaults documenter and adjustment are the written approval of The t	er Systems is hat this instr- idarde treces s have been y is on tille at the Standard reifs publishe the This cent f respons billity Model Shop.	Model DSS60 2239 anelt has been to ble to the National calibrated in their The Modal Shoe (s) and the isom o e specification on idate relate only to of the end user	alibrated under th Uns ilute of Stan manufacturers e andror Larson De alferates has ben less noted. the tem(s) calib This certificate m	erial Number 123/70 109 IO9 IO9 Io3 Particle accuracy evis Corporato Hex an maintained. In material or tested. Co hay not be recrudu	a with Mesaura alogy INIST). A funcerality, ta idquarters. An la thatrument m allbration interv ced, except in t	mont and Te root and Te di of the evidence of acceptable roots or val essignment full, without th		

This document certi Procedure PRD-P26 2004 Octave Band C Type 1: 61260-2001	lies that the 3; ANSI S1, 3ass 0; S1,2 Glass 0; 612	instrument refer 4-1983 (R 2006) 5-1991; ΙΣC 616 52-2002.	renced below meet Type 1; S1.4A-198 72-2002 Class 1; 60	s published sp 5: 51.43-1897 %51-2001 Type	ecifications ( Type 1: S1.) : 1; 60804-20
Manufacturer:	Larso	n Davis	Temperature;	74.9	°F
Videl Nurwber:	831			23.63	°C
Serla Number:	2	661	Re!. Humidity:	42.1	%
Customer:	TMS	Rental	Pressure:	997.5	mbars
Description:		Sound Level N	leter	997.5	11Pa
Notes		As Found	As Left: In Tolerand	æ	
Callbration Stands	arde Llaed:				
Callbration Stands	arde Used:				
Manufacturer					
Manufacture Stanford Research	er Systemis	Model DS360	Serial Numbe 123270	r (	lal Duc 25/2018
Manufacture Stanford Research This Confifuate aliests t Test Equipment (M&TE) Measurement Standard traceability and according accuracy ratio between exceeds the manufactur The results doral mented assignment and adjustri full, without the wrotan a	et Systems Standards tra shave been et y is on the still the Standardis roris published d in this certific nect are the re- sporval of Thu	Model DS060 nent has been calibr coop of to the Nation allbrated to their man he Moda. Shop and the Moda. Shop and pand the item calibr specification unlease ate relate only to the sponsibility of the en- thogoal Shop.	Secial Number 123270 all det the stated of all het tate of Standard hufacturers' socialiert a for Larson Davis Corpo alled has been meintai incred. ettern(s) callibrated or te diuser. This certificato	r 0 4/ anditions with Mo- s and Technology reuracy / uncertail rate Headquarters hed. This instruct headquarters hed. This instruct estez. Calibration	ial Due 25/2018 astronicol 206 (NIS ): All of ity: Evidence ( An acceptab col meets of inforval diriced, except

			~Ce	rtificate	e of C	alibrati	0n~	:	3149 East K Citasian attu Phara 519-4 Marca 519-4 www.modal	emper Rd GH 14241 GH 14241 GH 14241 GH 14241 GH 14241 Stop Cont
Manuf Madel	petimer: Number:	PCB 377B02				Customer: Address;		YMS Reot	2]	
Serial Asset I Descrij	Noonbers D: plious	1 58135 Tree Field MS	сторённые			Colibration Due Date:	Dates	Jul 27, 20,	.7 12:22:03	
Sensiti	vity;	<b>250 Hz</b> -26.72 -46.15	<b>1 kHz</b> -26.79 45 76	dBirs, IV/Pa mV/Pa		Temperatur Humidity: Amblent Pr	re; 1960170;	75 (24) 46 997 1	°F (°C) 73. mbur	
Cal. R	centilis:	In Tulera	ICE			Palarization	Voltage:	0	VDC.	
ā										
α.	-									
월 -5 ·	Acequately conthe nd reasonabel I	Response Charty ampliante with pur sy chemistante ac	derictics of The classical grid. The classical grid. T	a opportant verballs th The lower curve o	no Kloo theid a s (the processio	uaracheristic acquisica				~
-10 -	Sensitivity ypical pe	The stated sease somplifier the sea	unouty us the o attivaty wall be	opan circri fazial 2021 Blinaer	ory, When	oosl w.tl. ó				
-15 a Je	2		100		Decueor	16C) e11121			10000	
Traces Notes:	abildity:	The collibration is Collibration is This certification is Itsis collibration Measurement Collibrated pe As Found 4 A	inn is trace solid delate o to may not b an is perform uncertai my a provedure, s thefailte 1	able En ungh ( why to the iren- oregrodown), () ned in complian (260 Ex sensiti (260 Ex sensit	583/28441 coalibreted, xoo vi in full coalionth 191 vity calibra	3-14 , without whick 19631, 180 17 Cool & 95% co	en permissio 025 and AN infidence (cs	c. ISE <b>Z5</b> 40. M	0.40 dBg	
W.SHELLY	1722-	201-000-000								
		Tragmany (HP) 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 125 160 200 220 235 400	P major Upger (dth) -3311 -3314 -331	ency (cespinal k requency (054) 630 800 800 1120 1200 1200 1400 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1500 1500 1500 1500	e with PCI Upper (189) 0.00 0.01 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.08 0.07 0.09 0.11 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12	er carce tro 10 V Pargmentsy (H2) JS00 %000 %000 %000 \$000	c) po, 2200 II Upper 1426 0.38 0.46 0.54 0.62 0.62 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	Миедиську III.2	Opper (JR)	
		SUU The shares	002	4094	0.22	Dofessor	E main mar	t 1 hoele		
ZL		Approval:	- Charles	1064		keterence . Manuf, GILAS	Madel 40AG	Narial Narial 9942	Cul. Date 9/20/2016	Dire Date 9/20/2017
Calibration	Γεύ Δ΄ CN CER	T 2649.01							Page of I	

: "			~ <i>C</i>	ertificate	of Co	alibrat	ion~		Cincianati, OH 457 Phy: 513-054-99 Fax: 513-458-247 www.ciodslabopter
Manufacturer: Pi Model Number: 23 Serial Number: 14 Asset ID: Description: E/		PCB : 277B02	PCB 277B02			Customer: 1 Address: Calibration Date: Jo Due Date:		1⊡Ma Rep	tz.l
		Free-Eatld Microphone						Jul 27, 2017 11:00:00	
Sensitivity: Cal. Results:		250 Hz 1 kH -26.47 -26.5		z 5. albac, 1 V/Pa		Temperature: Humidity:		76 (24) 44	4F (≤C) 95
		47.47 ]n Toleran	47.04 ice	47.04 mV/₽a ∉		Ambient Pressure: Pointization Voltage		992.7	otta: VDC
5.7							_		
							_		
2.			1						
·· 514					2 - E AL				
3 > .	zharacter.	y Responde Unara. Sate for the mixtur	stensnosti ti Jachte with p	te inportourve e los agricultur, grilli, The l	kwer datvel	is the			
	pressure a	restories insciril al	hy electroite	die octuetter.			_		
-100	Second	y : The stated serv	itivity as the	apen-cincuitse ser	n ty, When y	ised when a			
	lypez, pr	camplific: the sec	sit aty will "	e 0,2 dB lewer					
-15	0		 K	× •		1000		5 1 1	1000
					Frequency	e[Ha]			
Traces	ahilidy:	The calibrati	ion la trac	cable duringh 68	83/284413	1-14.			
Notes	-	Childradou ( Caulus refore only to the fastus calibrated.							
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		CERPERSION (19	adrie te are	only to the fibrasi	calibrated.				
		Obligation (13 Obligation)	adus celore a chay nux l	only to the fibrasi to repredated, era	calibrated. copt in full,	wir out writ	ten pernvissio	п.	
	:	Dinis certificat This culticates	aqua celare a may mati nuta porfor	only to the fibrasi to repredated, era mod in ecceptance	calibrated, copt on full, cowich ISO	, wir out writ 9001, ISO I	ten perm/ssic 7925 and AA	n. 35.2340,	
	:	Octobristico (13 finis certificat This culticates Vensurement	antis celtre e triay nict l nicta porfor uncertainty	only to the datas : horepredated, env (act in compliance) (250 Liz sensitive)	calibrated, copt on full, ce with ISO úty valebrat	, without writ 9001, ISO I 2001 of 95% o	ten permissio 7025 and AA confidence let	m. (81,2540) ref:	0.20 čB.
	:	Chierator (13 Dris certificat This culderate Vocument Calibrated per	antis celore e onay novil nu ta porfor uncertainty procodino	only to the fibrosis to reprediated, easy modific ecosphane (1950 LLE sensities (1960 LLP204	calibrated, copt in full, cowien ISC áty valébrat	, wir taut writ 1906 - 1801 Jau) of 95% o	ten pertivissio 7025 ozid A2 confidence let	m. (81,2,540) ref:	0.20 čB.
Uner P	vote:	Calibratio (13 fris certificat This cultorate Versurement Calibrated per As Found : A	antis celtre e unay mixil ni la porfor uncertarni; procodino s lieft, fri T	e aly to the floras a to reprediated, easy word in eccephane a (250 LL2 sensities (250 LL2 sensities (250 LL2 sensities) (250 LL2 sensities)	calibrated, copt on full, ce with ISO áty valóbrat	, wir taut writ 9001, 1901 (au) nt 95% (	ten permissio 7025 octi A25 onrfidence let	m. (S1,2540) (el:	0.20 đB.
User N	vote:	Calibratio (13 f) is certificat This cultorates Versurement Calibrated per As Found : A	antis celore e may nux l nu la porfor uncertaint; precodino s l effit, fin T Firequi	only to the factor of the reproduced, each produce of the completion (250 LLs constitute (250 LLs constitute (250 LLs constitute (250 LLs constitute) (150 L	calibrated, copi en full, covidn ISO dity colebrat covidn prefe	, without writ 1900 II ISO I Iour) m Corel 1910 m Corel	ten permissio 7025 and AA confidence let yet at 250 1	m. 35:25-10) al: fx	0.20 đB.
Uner N	vote:	Contractor (13 (h) is certificat This cultorate Vectorement Calibrated per As Found : A Tragonacy	sous of the sousy next and a perfor meterizanty precodino stastic for T Freego Dygen	only to the factor of the reproduced, ex- tract in errophane (250 LL2 sensition (250 LL2 sensition (250 LL2 sensition (250 LL2 sensition (250 LL2 sensition) (250 LL2	calibrated. copi on full, ce with ISO ity coldorat created arefo Upper	, without writ 9001, ISO L Coup of Soly() Stranger to le Tragerous coup	ten permissio 7023 vard A2 confidence les yet at 2.50 T Upper Conc	n. 18: 25-10, rel: Frequence Frequence	0.20 dB. V Opper
Liser P	sote:	Contraction (13) (h) is certificat Their cultivates Versurement Calibrated per As Found : A: Traggroup (H2) 20	antis ce tre e may nux l nu zi porfor uncertarni; precodino s 1-sft. En T Frequ Uppes (d U) c.10	enly to the factors herepredented, etc. word in ecceptions (2531 LL sectorized (2531 LL sectorized (2531 LL sectorized (2531 LL sectorized (2531 LL sectorized (2531 LL sectorized) (2531 LL sectorize	calibrated. copi on full, ce with ISC dity valebrat types (Upper (018) -0.01	without write 9001, ISO L Cou) M 9094 ( Strenge to le Tragerny (Hv) 4500	ten permiasio 7025 and A2 confidence les yet et 250 I Upper (dB) 1020	n. 191:25-10) 191: Frequency (1112)	U.20 d.B. V Upper IdBy
Uner P	vote:	Chiefandor (13) Tris certificat Tris cultostat Vicourement Calibrated per As Found : A: Trispuracy (H7) 20 25	antis ce tre s may rus l nu a porfor uncertarni; precodno 3 s l -sft. fin T Freego Opper (dB) -2.10 -2.10	enly to the factor for operational environment (253) Lie sensitive (253) Lie sensitive (254) 1-12304 (decanos ready Response (decanos (decanos (decanos) (decanos (decanos) (dec	calibrated. copt on full, a with ISO ity valibrat typer (Upper (C)) 0.8) (C))	, without writ 9001, ISO L 2001 of SeyS o encode to be Tragarous (Hv) 4500 5000	ten permissio 7023 vard A2 vonfidence let vol et 250 I Upper (08) 0,35	n. 181:25-10) 181: Frequence (112)	U.20 d.B. V. Upper IdBy
Uner P	note:	Chiefandor (13) This certificat This cultivate Volument Calibrated per As Found : A Trapuracy (H2) 20 25 31.5	aqua de pre- e may moi l mu a porfor morenzinty processino si toft. En T Freequ Opper (01) 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10	enly to the factors for operational con- providing constraints (253) Lite sensitive (253) Lite sensitive (253) Lite sensitive (253) for an entry (254) (255) (254) (255)	calibrated, copi on full, as with ISC ity collocat Upper (48) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20	vein out writ 9001, ISO L Jou) of SeyS o eremee to le Trageney (Hv) 4500 5000	ten permissio 7023 vard A2 vonfidence let Vignar (08) 0,20 0,33 0,40	n. St. 25-10, sel : Frequence (Uz)	0.20 d.B. 9 Upper 1039
Laer P	vote:	Chiefandor Has Pris certifica Vicourement Calibrated per As Found : A Troppracy (H2) 20 25 31.5 40 cu	golis (* tre e onay nici) nicia (eorfor uncercumi) procodino siliefic, fin T Friequi (dil) C.10 - C.10 - C.	enly to the factors for operational cost (250 file sensitive (250 file sensitive (250 file sensitive (250 file sensitive (250 file (250 file) (250 file) (	calibrated, copt on full, is with ISC ity calibrat Upper 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05	vein out writ 9001, ISO L 200) of 98% o 200) of 98% o 200 500 500 500 500 200 200	ten permissio 7023 vard A2 vonfidence let Upper (08) 0,33 0,45 0,47 0,47	т. 31,2540) vel: Гл: Frequency (Ша)	0.20 d.B. 9 Upper 1089
Laer P	tote:	Chiefandor Haa This certificat Vionument Calibrated per As Found : A Tragunacy (H2) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63	golis (* tre e onay mixi) mita (enfor uncertaint) procodino silieft, fri T Freequi (du) C(10 C(10 C(10 C(10 C(10 C(10 C(10 C(10	enly to the factors herepredented, etc. (250 LL sensitive (250 LL sensitive (250 LL sensitive (250 LL sensitive (250 LL sensitive (250 Lessions) (250 Lessio	calibrated, copt on full, is with ISC ity calibrat Upper 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.05	without writ 9001, ISO L 2001 M 2025 o creates to le Tragarasy (No) 4500 5000 5000 5000 6300 2100 8000	ten permissio 7025 ond AS confidence les vel et 2501 I Upper (08) 0,20 0,42 0,42 0,42 0,42 0,42 0,42 0,42	m. (St. 25-40) rel : Fraguene: (Itz)	0.20 d.B. 9 Upper 1009
Uner P	sole:	Chiefandor Ha Pris certificat This culticate Vocurement Calibrated per As Found : A Tragunacy (H2) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63 80	9,016 (* 576 8 may 10.0) nr. a porfo- micerta 10; precessino 8 h-fh, fm T Freequ Upper (0.0) 0,10 -0,10 -0,10 -0,10 -0,00 0,02 0,02 0,05	enly to the factor of herepredented, each reach in ecceptions (250 LL sensitive (250 LL sensitive (250 LL sensitive (250 LL sensitive (250 Lessions) (250 Le	calibrated, copi on full, is with ISC ity valident Upper 0181 -0.01 -0.05 -0.05 -0.06 -0.06	without writ 9001, ISO I John M Sog4 ( Tragarney (Hv) 4530 5000 5000 5000 7100 8000 2100 8000 2000	ten pernvissio 7025 ond A2 confidence les yel et 2501 1 Upper (08) 0.20 0.35 0.45 0.45 0.47 0.51 0.57	m. (St. 2540) vel : Fr Frequency (III2)	U.20 d.B. S Upper IdBy
Uner P	sote:	Chiefendor (13) This certificat This cultivated Vonument Calibrated per As Found : A Tragunacy (Ha) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100	guits (* pre- e may mix) an a porto- micentarity processing shaft, fin T Friequi (all) Ch0 Ch0 Ch0 Ch0 Ch0 Ch0 Ch0 Ch0 Ch0 Ch0	enly to the factor of the repredented reak (250 LLz sensitive (250 LLZ	calibrated, copt on full, to with ISC swith prefit of 81 -0.51 -0.55 -0.05 -0.05 -0.05 -0.05 -0.05 -0.05 -0.05 -0.05 -0.05 -0.05	without with 9001, ISO I Iou) in Segéri Tragarnes (Hv) 4500 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 500	ten pernvissio 7025 occi A2 ronfidence les vel at 2501 I Upper (08) 0,35 0,47 0,35 0,47 0,37 0,37 0,36 0,57 0,36	m. (St. 25-10) vel : Fr kroquency (Uz)	Ս.20 ՀԱ. Դ Աթթու ԱԱՏ
Laer P	∙∿te:	Chiefandor (13) This certificat This cultivated per As Found : A Triagonacy (Ha) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 125	9,005 (* 505 8 may mix) mixeriz miy procession 3 8 h-sh, fm T Freequ 19,005 (0.0) 0,00 0,02 0,03	enly to the factors here precision, each reach in encophane (250 LL2 sensitive (250 LL2 sensitive) (250 LL2 sensitive)	calibrated. copt on full, is with ISC swith prefit (https://www. communications. communication	wift out with 9001, ISO I (an) m 9595 ( Tragarney (Hv) 4500 5000 5000 5000 7100 8000 2000 10000 11200	ten pernvissio 7025 occi A2 confidence les vel at 2501 I Upper (08) 0,35 0,47 0,35 0,47 0,47 0,57 0,56 0,57 0,56 0,57	m. (St. 25-40) vel : Frequency (Max)	U.20 d.B. N Upper INBS
Laer P	sote:	Chietation 113 Pris certificat This culticate Calibrated per As Found : A Traguracy (Hz) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 125 160 200 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 125 160 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	9,016 (* 576 8 may mix) mixeriz miy procession 3 81-81, fm T Freeque 19,006 (010) -(1	enly to the factors here precision, each reach in encophane (250 LL sensitive) (250 LL sensitive) (250 LL sensitive) (250 LL sensitive) (250 LL sensitive) (260 LL se	calibrated, coping for full, is with ISC ity valident (Upper COP COP COP COP COP COP COP COP COP COP	wift out with 9001, ISO I 5001, ISO I 5001, ISO I 7002, ISO I 6500 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 500	ten permissio 7025 occi A2 confidence les vel at 2501 1 0,00 0,35 0,47 0,47 0,50 0,57 0,56 0,25 0,54	m. 131 25-10) rel: Froguency (Uz)	U.20 d.B. V Upper Indity
Uner P	vote:	Chiefanio (17) ihis certificat This culticata Volumement Calibrated per As Fremd / Ac Tragonacy (H2) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 125 160 255 160 255	guits (* pre- e may mix) mi a portôv procendin 3 s1-sft. fm T Friequ Dypes (dil) 4010 4010 4010 4010 4010 4010 4010 401	enly to the factor of the repredented level (250 LL sensitive) (250 LL	calibrated, copt on full, is with ISC ity valident Upper (IR) -0.01 -0.05 -0.0	(wiff out with 9001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2002, ISO E 2004, ISO E 200	ten permissio 7025 occi A2 confidence les vel at 2501 I Upper (186 0,20 0,35 0,40 0,47 0,57 0,36 0,23 0,34 0,23 0,34 0,24 0,24	m. 131:25-10) rel: Froquency (U.z.)	U.20 d.B. V Upper IGIS
Laer P	vote:	Chienton (13) ihis certificat This cubicata Volumement Calibrated per As Fremd / A Tragorouy (H2) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 125 160 215 315	9,016 (* 57 57 8 may mix) mix a perfo- micercamb precodmo 81-80, fm T Freequ 0,00 4,000 4,00	enly to the factors herepredented, etc. word in ecceptions (2531 LL sectoristics (2531 LL sectoristics (2531 LL sectoristics) (2531 LL se	calibrated, copt on full, is with ISC ity valident Upper (IR) -0.01 -0.05 -0.0	viii contravii 9001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2002, ISO E 2004, ISO E 200	ten perm/ssie 7025 ozd A2 confidence les Vol at 250 I Upper (186 0,20 0,35 0,45 0,47 0,51 0,57 0,57 0,57 0,55 0,23 0,24 0,24 0,24 0,24	m. 19: 25-10) vel : Fraguence (ULX)	Ս.20 ՀԱ. Դ Upper 1035)
Laer P	Yote:	Chienton (1) in is certificat This cubicata Volumement Calibrated per As Freend : A Triggramy (H2) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 125 160 200 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 25 31.5 40 200 25 31.5 40 200 25 31.5 40 200 200 25 31.5 40 200 200 25 31.5 40 250 31.5 40 250 31.5 40 250 31.5 40 250 31.5 40 250 31.5 40 250 31.5 40 250 31.5 40 250 31.5 40 250 31.5 400 250 31.5 400 250 31.5 400 250 31.5 400 250 31.5 400 250 31.5 400 250 31.5 400 250 31.5 400 250 31.5 400 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 2	9,016 (* 57 57 8 may mix) mix a perfo- micercamb precodmo 81-80, fm T Freequ 0,00 4,010 4,0	enly to the factors herepredented, etc. word in ecceptions (253) Lie sensitive (253) Lie sensitive (254) Lie sensitive (254) Lie sensitive (254) herequency (254) 1400 1000 1000 1120 1250 1400 1600 1240 2600 2600 2600 2600	calibrated, copi on full, is with ISC ity valident Upper (IR) -0.01 -0.01 -0.05 -0.0	viii contravii 9001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2002, ISO E 2004, ISO E 200	ten perm/ssie 7025 odd A2 confidence les 9 yel et 2501 I 0,00 0,35 0,45 0,47 0,51 0,57 0,56 0,25 0,25 0,25 0,24 0,24 0,24 0,24 0,24 0,24 0,24	m. 19:25-10) vel: Froguence (U.z.)	Ս.20 ՀԱ. Դ Upper 1035)
Uner P	sote:	Chienton 113 Phis certificat This culticata Volumement Calibrated per As Fremd / Ac Tragorous (H2) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 125 160 250 315 400 500 500	9,005 (* 505 8 may micl micenzint) processing 8 h-shi fin T Freequ 19,000 (010) -(10)	enly to the factor of the repredented read- inert in errophene (250 LL sensitive) (250 LL sensitive) (240 LL	calibrated, copi on full, is with ISC ity valident (1990)	wift out with 9001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2002, ISO E 2002	ten permissio 7025 occi A2 confidence les 9 (18) 10,00 0,35 0,47 0,47 0,47 0,47 0,47 0,56 0,57 0,56 0,55 0,55 0,54 0,54 0,54 0,54 0,54 0,54	m. (St. 25-40) vel : Frequency (Uz)	U.20 d.B. N Upper INBS
User P	tote:	Chiefandor Ha Pris certifica: This culticate Vicourement Calibrated per As Found : A Tragunacy (H2) 20 25 31.5 40 50 63 80 100 125 160 200 25 315 40 50 63 80 100 125 315 400 500 200 25 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 400 250 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315	quits (e) tree is may mich in tai porfori international procession is in after for T Precipion (000) (00)	enly to the factor of the repredented reac- inert in errophene (250 Lie sensitive (250 Lie sensitive) (250 Lie se	calibrated, copi on full, is with ISC ity calibration (1977) (1978) (197	without with 9001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2001, ISO E 2002,	ten pernvissio 7025 occi A2 ronfidence les vel at 2501 I Upper (08) 0,35 0,47 0,35 0,47 0,35 0,47 0,35 0,47 0,35 0,35 0,47 0,35 0,35 0,35 0,35 0,35 0,35 0,35 0,35	n. (St. 25-10) el : Frequency (Uz)	U.20 dB.

	~Calibration	Certificate~	3149 Forst Namper Rd. Crassinnett, 1977-19741 Pha: 513-351-9919 Pare: 513-455-2172 www.modalshop.com
Manufacturer;	flaraon Davis	Avera (ID:	
Model:	CAL200	Calibration Data:	Jul 11, 2017 12:52:07
Sarial Number:	13271	Due Date:	
Description:	Acoustic Calibrator	Techniciau	Bd Daylin
Custemen	TMS Rental	Approvid:	Silver O. b. h
Calibration Results:		Temperature:	24 (C (75 F)
Measured SPL : 94.0)	l dB rc. 20µ₽¤	Humidity:	46.00%
Measured Frequency	: 1,000.00 L(x	Pressure:	993 3 mbar
Upon receipt for culibr WITHIN Note: As bound Measurement uncertain The subject instrument yclass of ratural physic	ation, the instrument was found to the stated tolerance of the manu- / As Left: In Tolerance. ity at 95% confidence level: 0.25 d was calibrated to the indicated spi- cal constants. This document certi-	be: facturer's specification. B a dreation avoig standard: First the instancert m	s stated below or to incorposition of the following specification
This calibration is trace	able through : 683/284413-14		
Nules: The calibration was pe- 180-17025 and ANSL Calibration results rela- without written permis-	-formed under operating procedure Z540: Unless otherwise noted, the to only to the items collibrated. This store	s intended to implement t reported value is both "us s centricate imaginot be re	the requirements of LSO 9001, Found' and "as tell" data. produced, except in full,
Reference	Equipment Used: Maugi, Madel Serial CRAS 40A(1 9542	Cal. Dare – Dire Dar 9/20/2016 – 9/20/20	יע קו
			Page 1 of 2

	~Cali	bration	3149 East Nemper Rd. Cinestandi, CH 45241 Ph : 313-354-9919 Fax: 313-458-8122 www.roodal9hitp.com	
Manufacturer:	Larson Davia		Asset ID;	
Model:	CAL200		Calibration Date:	.0011, 2017 12:56:30
Seclal Number:	13271		Due Date:	
Description:	Acoustic Calibrate	г	Technician:	Ed Devin
Customer:	usionici) TMS Reptal		Approval:	alwand G. y. hi
Califoration Results			Femperature:	24 °C (75 °F)
Measured SPL : 11	4.05 dB rc. 20µPa		Humidiay:	46.00%
Measured Prequeit	cy : 1,000.00 flz		Pressure:	993.3 mbar
Chon receipt for cal WTHT Note: As Four Measurement uncert The subject its, rook vulues of natural physics	ibration, the instrument N the stated tolerand of / As Lefter In Tolers using at 95% confidence are was calibrated to the solution constance. This c	vas found to b e of the manu b uace, e level; 0.25 d) : indicated spe actuate critic	ie: actions is specification; II cilication using standard ie: that the instrument o	the statust her own or to accepted net the following specification
This calibration is t	aceatric through 1 689/2	84413-14		
Notes: The calibration was ISO 17025 and ANS Calibration results n without written perce	performed under open- of 2540. Unless otherw date only to the items o tission.	ing processore ise coved, the r alibrated. This	s intended to implement reported value is bodh 's certificate may nor he r	the requirements of ISO 9001, a found and las left data. epondoced, accept in full,
Mefener	ce <b>Equipment Used:</b> Manif. Mode GRAS 40AC	i Serial 9542	Cal. Dete – Die Di 9/20/2016 – 9/20/2	de 017

#### **ABOUT DNV GL**

Driven by our purpose of safeguarding life, property and the environment, DNV GL enables organizations to advance the safety and sustainability of their business. We provide classification, technical assurance, software and independent expert advisory services to the maritime, oil & gas and energy industries. We also provide certification services to customers across a wide range of industries. Combining leading technical and operational expertise, risk methodology and in-depth industry knowledge, we empower our customers' decisions and actions with trust and confidence. We continuously invest in research and collaborative innovation to provide customers and society with operational and technological foresight. Operating in more than 100 countries, our professionals are dedicated to helping customers make the world safer, smarter and greener.