

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
FOR THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

In The Matter of the Application of Northern  
States Power Company, d/b/a Xcel Energy, for  
Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in  
the State of Minnesota

**FIRST  
PREHEARING ORDER**

A prehearing conference was held before Administrative Law Judge Laura Sue Schlatter on behalf of Administrative Law Judge J. Jeffery Oxley on January 4, 2016, at the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, 350 Metro Square Building, 121 Seventh Place East, St. Paul, Minnesota.

**Appearances:**

Eric F. Swanson, Winthrop and Weinstein, appeared on behalf of the Applicant Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy (Xcel, the Applicant, or the Company).

Julia Anderson and Peter Madsen, Assistant Attorneys General, appeared on behalf of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources (Department or DOC).

Ian Dobson, Assistant Attorney General, appeared on behalf of the Office of the Attorney General – Antitrust and Utilities Division (OAG).

Carol A. Overland, Legalectric Inc., appeared on her own behalf and on behalf of No CapX 2020.

Alan R. Jenkins, Jenkins at Law, LLC, appeared on behalf of the Commercial Group (JC Penney Corporation, Inc., Macy's, Inc., Sam's West, Inc. and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.).

Adam Wattenbarger, Kennedy & Graven, appeared on behalf of the Suburban Rate Authority (SRA).

Hudson Kingston, Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy (MCEA), appeared on behalf of Fresh Energy, Sierra Club, MCEA, and Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC).

Sarah Johnson-Phillips, Stoel Rives, LLP, appeared on behalf of the Xcel Large Industrials (XLI).

Richard Savelkoul, Martin & Squires, P.A., appeared on behalf of the Minnesota Chamber of Commerce (MCC).

Peder Larson, Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, appeared on behalf of the ICI Group.

Alan Muller appeared on behalf of Citizens Against the Burner.

Robert Harding appeared for the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission (PUC or Commission).

Based upon the record and the discussion among the participants at the prehearing conference, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following Prehearing Order.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

**I. Intervention**

1. The parties to this matter as named in the Commission's December 22, 2015 Notice and Order for Hearing are Xcel Energy, the Department, and the Antitrust and Utilities Division of the Office of the Attorney General. Prior to the prehearing conference, Petitions to Intervene were filed by Carol A/ Overland/No CapX 2020 and the Commercial Group.

2. At the prehearing conference, Xcel indicated it objected to the intervention of Carol A. Overland and No CapX 2020. Xcel filed a written objection on January 4, 2016.

3. The Petitions to Intervene will be addressed in separate orders.

4. Members of the public need not become formal parties to participate in this matter. Members of the public may participate in the public hearings and may offer oral or written testimony and exhibits pursuant to Minn. R. 1400.6200, subp. 5; 1400.7150, subp. 1 (2015). Any person desiring to become a formal party must file a petition to intervene.

5. Persons who wish to intervene in this proceeding are requested to file a Petition to Intervene that complies with Minn. R. 1400.6200 (2015) with the Administrative Law Judge no later than **April 15, 2016**. A Notice of Appearance shall be filed with the Petition, if such a Notice has not already been filed. Petitioners shall also provide an e-mail address on the Petition or Notice of Appearance. Any objection to a petition shall be filed within seven days of the filing of the Petition. The Petition shall be served upon all existing parties and the Commission.

## II. Service List

6. A copy of this Prehearing Order shall be served according to the Service List maintained by the Commission. Thereafter, the Service List shall be established by the Administrative Law Judge and the Office of Administrative Hearings. All documents filed in this contested case proceeding shall be served in accordance with the Office of Administrative Hearings Service List.

7. Interested members of the public are invited to receive notifications of filings in this proceeding through the "Subscribe to Dockets" feature of the eDocket system.

## III. Schedule

8. The following schedule is adopted:

Document or Event	Due Date
Applicant's Supplemental Direct Testimony	January 29, 2016
Intervention Deadline	April 15, 2016
Direct Testimony, Intervenors	June 14, 2016
Rebuttal, All Parties	August 9, 2016
Surrebuttal, All Parties	September 16, 2016
Status Conference	September 26, 2016, 1:30 p.m.
Evidentiary Hearings <sup>1</sup>	October 3-7, 2016
Draft Issue Matrix (Company)	November 1, 2016
Initial Briefs	November 15, 2016
Response to Issues Matrix	December 13, 2016
Reply Briefs and Proposed Findings of Fact	December 13, 2016
Administrative Law Judge Report	February 21, 2017
PUC Order	June 1, 2017

<sup>1</sup> The Evidentiary Hearings will commence at 9:00 a.m. each day at the PUC. The Company is requested to appear at 8:30 a.m. the first day of the hearing to have exhibits pre-marked with the court reporter.

9. The public hearings will be held in June and July 2016, at locations and times to be negotiated between the DOC, OAG, Commission Staff, and the Applicant. The public hearing schedule shall be approved by the Administrative Law Judge.

10. The schedule set forth above provides for a final PUC Order to be issued after expiration of the statutory deadline for this matter, March 1, 2017. The Company indicated on the record of the prehearing conference that it waived its right to enforcement of that statutory deadline and specifically agreed to a June 1, 2017 PUC Order. The Company further documented its agreement to this schedule in correspondence to the Commission and the Administrative Law Judge dated January 8, 2016, and e-Filed in this matter using the eDocket system of the PUC.

#### **IV. Publication of Hearing Schedule**

11. Notice of the public and evidentiary hearings shall be given by Xcel as set forth in the Commission's Notice and Order for Hearing.

#### **V. Settlement; Status Conference**

12. Pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.16, subd. 1(a) (2014), the Administrative Law Judge is required to convene a proceeding for the purpose of discussing settlement of issues in a public utility rate proceeding. The Administrative Law Judge encourages the parties to exhaust opportunities for settlement. Because the potential for settlement cannot be evaluated until after additional testimony has been filed, the parties are encouraged to discuss opportunities for settlement with each other prior to the commencement of the hearing. A status conference will be held on **September 26, 2016 at 1:30 p.m.** at the PUC to provide an update regarding the status of settlement or mediation efforts, to discuss the potential for any further settlement of any and all issues, as well as to address any other outstanding issues.

#### **VI. Discovery**

13. Information requests and responses shall not be e-Filed or served on the Administrative Law Judge or Court Reporter.

14. A party may serve requests for information on any other party. All requests for information shall be made in writing by e-mail, and the requesting party shall also e-mail a copy of the request to all other parties. To the extent that a request includes material designated as Trade Secret or Not Public under the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, Minn. Stat. Chap. 13 (2014), an e-mail request is required only between the requesting and responding party. Requests shall be sent to the person(s) designated to receive data requests by the party from whom the information is sought. Any request received by e-mail after 4:30 p.m. on a business day, on a weekend day, or on a Minnesota state holiday is considered received on the next business day.

15. The party responding to the request shall provide the requested information to the requesting party **within eight business days** of receipt of the information request. A business day does not include a weekend day or a Minnesota state holiday. In accordance with Minn. R. 1400.6100. subp. 1 (2015), the day that the information request is received is not counted in the eight-day period. If the request is received after 4:30 p.m. on a business day, the following business day is also not counted in the calculation of the eight-day response period.

16. Both public and non-public responses to information requests shall be submitted by e-mail. Responses including material designated as Trade Secret or Not Public will be provided to parties having executed a non-disclosure agreement directly with Not Public, an e-mail response is required only between the requesting party and the responding party and/or a non-disclosure agreement exhibit to the Administrative Law Judge's Protective Order in this proceeding.

17. Responses to information requests shall be submitted by e-mail, and the responding party shall follow the email with a copy of the request sent by regular U.S. mail or other delivery service, if requested. To the extent that a response includes material designated as Trade Secret or Not Public, an e-mail response is required only between the requesting party and the responding party. Any response received before 4:30 p.m. on a business day is considered to be received on the same day. Any response that is received after 4:30 p.m. on a business day is considered to be received the following business day.

18. In the event that the responding party is unable to send all components of the response by e-mail due to the volume or nature of information included in a response, the responding party shall send the response text by e-mail by the due date, and provide the full response package thereafter via regular U.S. mail, or other delivery service so that the requesting party receives the entire response by the date due, including any material designated as Trade Secret or Not Public. Responding parties may utilize other electronic media to convey large volumes of data. There shall be a continuing obligation to update and supplement information responses with any responsive material that may subsequently be discovered or acquired by the responding party; the updated and/or supplemented responses will be provided as soon as possible after the information becomes available, and will be distributed to all parties in the proceeding requesting copies of discovery responses. The responsive information need not be supplied to other parties unless specifically requested by a party.

19. A party that wishes to receive e-mail copies of requests or responses provided to other parties' requests shall notify the requesting/responding party, who shall provide the information in that format. Parties will be provided with responses to all requests, regardless of subject matter or the identity of the requesting party, to assist in streamlining submission of responses. If the request or response contains material designated as Trade Secret or Not Public information, the providing party may require that the requesting party comply with the terms of the Protective Order in this matter before providing the information.

20. In the event the information cannot be supplied within eight business days, the responding party shall notify the requesting party as soon as reasonably possible in advance of the deadline of the reasons for not being able to supply the information and shall attempt to work out a schedule of compliance with the requesting party.

21. For Xcel, the following persons shall be served with an e-mail copy of any information requests or responses:

Gail Baranko ([gail.baranko@xcelenergy.com](mailto:gail.baranko@xcelenergy.com))

Rebecca Eilers ([rebecca.d.eilers.edman@xcelenergy.com](mailto:rebecca.d.eilers.edman@xcelenergy.com))

Cyndee Harrington ([cynthia.d.harrington@xcelenergy.com](mailto:cynthia.d.harrington@xcelenergy.com))

Amber Hedlund ([amber.r.hedlund@xcelenergy.com](mailto:amber.r.hedlund@xcelenergy.com))

Mary Martinka ([mary.a.martinka@xcelenergy.com](mailto:mary.a.martinka@xcelenergy.com))

Regulatory.Records ([regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com](mailto:regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com))

22. All disputes concerning the reasonableness of discovery requests and the timing and sufficiency of responses shall be resolved by the Administrative Law Judge upon motion of a party. Hearings on such motions may be conducted by telephone conference call.

## **VII. Prefiled Testimony**

23. Prefiled testimony shall be marked as an exhibit and offered for admission into the record at the hearing. A hard copy shall be provided for that purpose and the offering party shall provide the unique eDocket identifying number. The Administrative Law Judge will either pre-assign number blocks to the parties for the pre-marking of exhibits or will assign a hearing exhibit number to the document at the time that it is offered for admission at the hearing.

24. Prefiled testimony that is amended or not offered into the record shall be considered withdrawn and no witness shall be cross-examined concerning the withdrawn testimony. Except for good cause shown, all revisions or corrections to any prefiled testimony shall be in writing and served upon the Administrative Law Judge and the parties no later than three days prior to the commencement of the evidentiary hearing.

25. At the January 4, 2016 prehearing conference in this matter, counsel for Xcel and certain other parties and persons agreed to abide by a protocol that information will not be included in testimony that reasonably should have been included in an earlier round of testimony, absent affirmative approval of the Administrative Law Judge for good cause shown by the offering party and based on the offering party's motion to the Administrative Law Judge, which shall be appended to the new testimony. The Administrative Law Judge agrees that this protocol is fair and reasonable, and that this protocol will apply to all responsive testimony filed in this matter by all parties.

Objections by any party regarding testimony that the party believes is inconsistent with this protocol shall be considered waived unless the objecting party states its objection in writing by identifying the information by witness and location in testimony and serving a copy of the objection on the Administrative Law Judge and other parties no later than **August 23, 2016**, with respect to rebuttal testimony and no later than **September 26, 2016**, with respect to surrebuttal testimony; the offering party then bears the burden of seeking approval of the Administrative Law Judge upon a motion and showing of good cause as set forth previously in this paragraph.

26. Except for good cause shown, objections by any party relative to the qualifications of a witness or to that witness' direct or rebuttal testimony shall be considered waived unless the objecting party states its objection by motion made to the Administrative Law Judge, and serves a copy of such objections on the parties, no later than **August 23, 2016**, with respect to direct and rebuttal testimony and no later than **September 26, 2016**, with respect to surrebuttal testimony.

#### **VIII. Order of Testimony**

27. The tentative order of testimony shall be: Xcel, intervenors (in the order of intervention), the OAG, and the Department. The final order of testimony will be decided at the September 26, 2016 status conference. Questioning of the witnesses shall proceed in the same order, followed by Commission Staff and the Administrative Law Judge, subject to change by agreement of the parties or further order of the Administrative Law Judge. Each party shall advise other parties of the order in which it will call witnesses for cross examination no less than 48 hours before the witness is called to testify.

28. If a sponsoring party needs a day certain to offer the testimony of a witness, the sponsoring party should submit a request to the Administrative Law Judge and other parties.

29. Prior to the September 26, 2016 status conference, the parties shall confer to determine whether the attendance of any witnesses may be waived. The parties shall notify the Administrative Law Judge and PUC staff of any such potential waivers at the status conference. If neither the Administrative Law Judge nor PUC staff require those witnesses attendance at the hearings, their testimony shall be admitted at the hearing and the witness will not be examined except for good cause shown.

#### **IX. Examination of Witnesses**

30. Witnesses will be allowed ten minutes in which to summarize their prefiled testimony. For good cause shown, witnesses will be permitted to respond to any new matters not addressed in prefiled testimony through direct examination by counsel.

31. Parties shall examine and cross-examine witnesses through their attorneys, if they are represented by counsel. Any party not represented by counsel may examine and cross-examine each witness through any one representative chosen by the party. If a party determines that the party has no questions for a particular

witness, that party shall inform the Administrative Law Judge and other parties as soon as practicable.

**X. Filing of Documents (Excluding Information Requests and Responses) Procedure**

32. The rules of the Office of Administrative Hearings govern the conduct of the hearings, and the Professionalism Aspirations adopted by the Minnesota Supreme Court will be observed.

33. Prefiled testimony and exhibits may be in any reasonable format that is understandable, logically organized, and capable of being cited by page and line number, paragraph number, or similar identifier.

34. The parties, including intervenors, have agreed to file all documents, including prefiled testimony, using the eDocket system of the PUC. The parties agree such e-Filing constitutes service.

35. The original document shall be filed using the eDocket system wherever feasible. Otherwise, the original document shall be filed by delivery or mail with the Administrative Law Judge.

36. The effective date of filing shall be the date the document is e-filed in the eDocket system, mailed by U.S. Mail, or delivered to the Administrative Law Judge. Parties must retain the unique eDocket system document identifier as proof of service through that system. Proof of service shall be filed with each document or within three business days thereafter.

37. The parties agreed to confer and report to the Administrative Law Judge whether they agree, upon request and as a courtesy, to provide documents to one another via e-mail or U.S. mail in addition to the official service via eDockets. The parties have also agreed to provide the Administrative Law Judge with a paper courtesy copy of all prefiled testimony and exhibits or schedules to that testimony, and to provide e-mailed copies, in Word format where possible, of the same documents to the following Commission Staff:

Robert C. Harding, Financial Analysis Supervisor ([robert.harding@state.mn.us](mailto:robert.harding@state.mn.us))

Jorge Alonso, Financial Analyst ([jorge.alonso@state.mn.us](mailto:jorge.alonso@state.mn.us))

Dorothy Morrissey, Financial Analyst ([dorothy.morrissey@state.mn.us](mailto:dorothy.morrissey@state.mn.us))

Andrew Twite, Rates Analyst ([andrew.twite@state.mn.us](mailto:andrew.twite@state.mn.us))

Clark D. Kaml, Rates Analyst ([clark.kaml@state.mn.us](mailto:clark.kaml@state.mn.us))

Ganesh Krishnan, Economist ([ganesh.krishnan@state.mn.us](mailto:ganesh.krishnan@state.mn.us))



Andrew P. Bahn, Rates Analyst ([andrew.bahn@state.mn.us](mailto:andrew.bahn@state.mn.us))

38. If Trade Secret or Not Public data is filed with the Administrative Law Judge, it shall be prepared and marked in accordance with the Public Utilities Commission's September 1, 1999, Revised Procedures for Handling Trade Secret and Privileged Data. The procedures may be viewed at [www.puc.state.mn.us/docs/tradeseecret.pdf](http://www.puc.state.mn.us/docs/tradeseecret.pdf). Access to Trade Secret and Not Public Data shall be governed by the Protective Order to be issued in this proceeding.

#### **XI. Court Reporter**

39. The Commission will arrange to have a court reporter present at the hearings. Parties must make arrangements with the Court Reporter to obtain a copy of the transcript.

#### **XII. Request for Accommodation**

40. No person has requested accommodation for a disability or appointment of an interpreter. The Office of Administrative Hearings shall be notified promptly if either an accommodation or interpreter is needed.

#### **XIII. Subpoenas**

41. Requests of subpoenas for the attendance of a witness or the production of documents shall be made in writing to the Administrative Law Judge pursuant to Minn. R. 1400.7000 (2015). A copy of the subpoena request shall be served on the other parties. A subpoena request form is available at <http://www.oah.state.mn.us>.

Dated: January 11, 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J. JEFFERY OXLEY  
Administrative Law Judge

**m** MINNESOTA  
OFFICE OF  
ADMINISTRATIVE  
HEARINGS

Mailing Address:  
P.O. Box 64620  
St. Paul, Minnesota 55164-0620

Voice: (651) 361-7900  
TTY: (651) 361-7878  
Fax: (651) 539-0310

January 11, 2016

See Attached Service List

**Re: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company  
for Authority to Increase Rate for Electric Service in the State of MN  
(GR-15-826)**

**OAH 19-2500-33074  
MPUC E-002/GR-15-826**

To All Persons on the Attached Service List:

Enclosed and served upon you is the Administrative Law Judge's **FIRST  
PREHEARING ORDER** in the above-entitled matter.

If you have any questions, please contact my legal assistant Denise S. Collins at  
(651) 361-7875 or [denise.collins@state.mn.us](mailto:denise.collins@state.mn.us), or facsimile at (651) 539-0310.

Sincerely,

s/Jeffery Oxley

**JEFFERY OXLEY**  
Administrative Law Judge

JO:ry  
Enclosure  
cc: Docket Coordinator

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
PO BOX 64620  
600 NORTH ROBERT STREET  
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA 55164

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rate for Electric Service in the State of MN (GR-15-826)	OAH Docket No.: 19-2500-33074
--	----------------------------------

Rachel Youness, certifies that on January 11, 2016 she served the true and correct **FIRST PREHEARING ORDER** by eService, and U.S. Mail, (in the manner indicated below) to the following individuals:

Aafedt	David	daafedt@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine, P.A.	Electronic Service	No
Anderson	Julia	Julia.Anderson@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Electronic Service	Yes
Anderson	Christopher	canderson@allete.com	Minnesota Power	Electronic Service	No
Archer	Alison C	alison.c.archer@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	Electronic Service	No
Baranko	Gail	gail.baranko@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	Electronic Service	No
Barlow	Ryan	Ryan.Barlow@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Electronic Service	Yes
Bertrand	James J.	james.bertrand@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	Electronic Service	No
Blazar	William A.	bblazar@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber Of Commerce	Electronic Service	No
Canaday	James	james.canaday@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Electronic Service	Yes
Coffman	John	john@johncoffman.net	AARP	Electronic Service	No
Dammel	Joseph	joseph.dammel@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Electronic Service	Yes
Daugherty	Jeffrey A.	jeffrey.daugherty@centerpointenergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	Electronic Service	No
Denniston	James	james.r.denniston@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	Electronic Service	No
Dobson	Ian	ian.dobson@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Electronic Service	Yes
Eilers	Rebecca	rebecca.d.eilers@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	Electronic	No

				Service	
Fazio	Emma	emma.fazio@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	Electronic Service	No
Ferguson	Sharon	sharon.ferguson@state.mn.us	Department of Commerce	Electronic Service	Yes
Fogel	Stephen	Stephen.E.Fogel@XcelEnergy.com	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.	Electronic Service	No
Garvey	Edward	garveyed@aol.com	Residence	Electronic Service	No
Gerber	Benjamin	bgerber@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	Electronic Service	Yes
Gonzalez	Janet	Janet.gonzalez@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Electronic Service	Yes
Hoppe	Michael	il23@mtn.org	Local Union 23, I.B.E.W.	Electronic Service	No
Jenkins	Alan	aj@jenkinsatlaw.com	Jenkins at Law	Electronic Service	No
Jensen	Linda	linda.s.jensen@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Electronic Service	Yes
Johnson	Richard	Rick.Johnson@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett	Electronic Service	No
Johnson Phillips	Sarah	sphilips@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	Electronic Service	No
Kaufman	Mark J.	mkaufman@ibewlocal949.org	IBEW Local Union 949	Electronic Service	No
Kingston	Hudson	hkingston@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	Electronic Service	No
Koehler	Thomas	TGK@IBEW160.org	Local Union #160, IBEW	Electronic Service	No
Koeller	Mara	mara.n.koeller@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	Electronic Service	No
Krikava	Michael	mkrikava@briggs.com	Briggs And Morgan, P.A.	Electronic Service	No
Larson	Peder	plarson@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman Daly & Lindgren, Ltd.	Electronic Service	No
Larson	Douglas	dlarson@dakotaelectric.com	Dakota Electric Association	Electronic Service	No
Lindell	John	agorud.ecf@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Electronic Service	Yes
Loftus	Matthew P	matthew.p.loftus@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	Electronic Service	No
Maccabee	Paula	Pmaccabee@justchangelaw.com	Just Change Law Offices	Electronic Service	No
Madsen	Peter	peter.madsen@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	Electronic Service	Yes
Maini	Kavita	kmairi@wi.rr.com	KM Energy Consulting LLC	Electronic Service	No
Marshall	Pam	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	Electronic Service	No
Martinka	Mary	mary.a.martinka@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy Inc	Electronic Service	No
Meloy	Brian	brian.meloy@stinson.com	Stinson, Leonard, Street LLP	Electronic Service	No
Meyer	Joseph	joseph.meyer@ag.state.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	Electronic Service	Yes
Moeller	David	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	Electronic Service	No

Moratzka	Andrew	apmoratzka@stoel.com	Stoel Rives LLP	Electronic Service	No
Niles	David W.	david.niles@avantenergy.com	Minnesota Municipal Power Agency	Electronic Service	No
Overland	Carol A.	overland@legalelectric.org	Legalelectric - Overland Law Office	Electronic Service	No
Oxley	Jeff	jeff.oxley@state.mn.us	Office of Administrative Hearings	Electronic Service	Yes
Reuther	Kevin	kreuther@mncenter.org	MN Center for Environmental Advocacy	Electronic Service	No
Rome	Amanda	amanda.rome@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	Electronic Service	No
Savelkoul	Richard	rsavelkoul@martinsquires.com	Martin & Squires, P.A.	Electronic Service	No
Schuchard	Inga	ischuchard@larkinhoffman.com	Larkin Hoffman	Electronic Service	No
Shaddix Elling	Janet	jshaddix@janetshaddix.com	Shaddix And Associates	Electronic Service	Yes
Smith	Ken	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	Electronic Service	No
Spangler, Jr.	Ron	rjspangler@otpc.com	Otter Tail Power Company	Electronic Service	No
Starns	Byron E.	byron.starns@stinson.com	Stinson Leonard Street LLP	Electronic Service	No
Strommen	James M.	jstrommen@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	Electronic Service	No
Swanson	Eric	eswanson@winthrop.com	Winthrop Weinstine	Electronic Service	No
Thompson	SaGonna	Regulatory.records@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	Electronic Service	No
Veith	Lisa	lisa.veith@ci.stpaul.mn.us	City of St. Paul	Electronic Service	No
Wattenbarger	Adam	awattenbarger@kennedy-graven.com	Kennedy & Graven, Chartered	Electronic Service	No
Wilensky	Scott M.	scott.wilensky@xcelenergy.com	Xcel Energy	Electronic Service	No
Williams	Samantha	swilliams@nrdc.org	Natural Resources Defense Council	Electronic Service	No
Windler	Joseph	jwindler@winthrop.com	Winthrop & Weinstine	Electronic Service	No
Wolf	Daniel P	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	Electronic Service	Yes
Zomer	Patrick	Patrick.Zomer@lawmoss.com	Moss & Barnett a Professional Association	Electronic Service	No