OVERLAND LAW OFFICE/LEGALECTRIC

1110 WEST AVENUE RED WING, MN 55066 (612) 227-8638 OVERLAND@LEGALECTRIC.ORG

ITC Midwest Transmission Project - Information Request #4

Docket Number: PUC Docket No.: ET6675/CN-12-1053 Request Date: March 5, 2014

OAH Docket No.: 60-2500-30782

Requested From: Lisa Agrimonti, attorney for ITC Midwest

Party Requesting Information: Carol A. Overland for No CapX2020 and CETF

If you feel your responses are trade secret or privileged, please indicate this on your response.

No.	ITC Transmission Project
3.	Witness David Grover testifies, and per the application, and IR responses, the ITC Midwest project, above-captioned, is linked to MVP 5. ITC's IR responses to Commerce reflect that benefits and attributes claimed for this project, above-captioned, would be reduced if MVP 5 is not built. As noted in IR 2, Grover's testimony, summarized, states that costs for an MVP are recovered primarily pursuant to Schedule 26-A of the MISO tariff, and the annual revenue requirement recovered is determined pursuant to the formula rate in Attachment MM. Annual revenue requirement collected under Schedule 26-A is paid by all MISO network and point-to-point transmission customers. Grover Direct, p. 8-9. The following questions are to identify costs for MVP 5 allocated to Minnesota.

- B. Please provide an "Appendix E" for MVP 5, with data as categorized in the Application's "Appendix E" MISO Rate Allocation chart for ITC Midwest ("the project"), showing, e.g., the full cost of MVP 5, Initial Fixed Charge Rate, Annual Revenue Requirement and its Allocation and percent in Minnesota Rates, and total cost and range of MVP 5 costs recovered in Minnesota.

These requests are continuing, and if new or additional in supplement your responses as soon as possible.	nformation is discovered, please
Electronic format preferred, via email or CD.	
Response by:	List sources of information:
Title:	
Department:	
Telephone:	