

From: [Jim Alviani](#)
To: [#PUC_Public_Comments](#)
Subject: FW: Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113)
Date: Monday, December 16, 2013 11:46:15 AM

From: Jim Alviani
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2013 10:45 AM
To: 'burl.haar@state.mn.us'; 'mike.kaluzniak@state.mn.us'; 'eric.lipman@state.mn.us:'
Subject: Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113)

Dear Sir,

Regarding the Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113), I respectfully request the following:

1. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON application for a 115 kV transmission line, such a project could only be approved if the Commission makes the finding required by Minnesota Session Laws 2013, Ch. 57, Section 2. I am gravely concerned that the Applicants are withdrawing their applications merely to circumvent the "Hollydale law". I would like to assure that it would still apply to any new application.
2. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications be subject to the full permitting process, including the development of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) as well as evidentiary hearings before an administrative law judge. I am concerned that any new applications will not be subject to the full permitting process, thus limiting public input. The current records establish, as evidenced via two public petitions and countless communications, that hundreds of households throughout Plymouth and Medina take great interest in this project and request the full permitting process. This should carry to any future application as well.
3. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, the full permitting process be presided over by the honorable Eric L. Lipman. Since Mr. Lipman is well-acquainted with the public's concerns regarding this project, as established over years of public comment and evidentiary hearings, his continued ability to preside over future proceedings will promote efficiency and effectiveness of the process.
4. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such CON and or routing dockets include the current records of dockets 12-113 (need) and 11-152 (routing), respectively. The public has gone to great lengths and costs to establish the records for the current dockets. Ignoring these records in any future permitting process would require a significant re-investment of time and money on behalf of the public.
5. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications exclude any and all portions of the current 69kV corridor as a proposed route. The current records have established that there is widespread opposition to the use of the current 69kV corridor for the purpose of a high voltage transmission line. The current records have also established that the current 69kV east of the Hollydale substation has been decommissioned since 2006, and that west of the Hollydale substation, the line is used only in case of emergency. It is the public's intent to pursue removal of the existing 69kV corridor and the corresponding easements altogether.

Thank you for considering my requests,

Jim Alviani
4410 Jewel Court, Plymouth, MN 55446
612.578.8676
Alviani6@comcast.net

From: [Kevin Moody](#)
To: [#PUC Public Comments](#)
Subject: Fw: Hollydale 115vK
Date: Monday, December 16, 2013 11:50:45 AM

On Monday, December 16, 2013 10:59 AM, Kevin Moody <kevnor@yahoo.com> wrote:
Dear Sir,

Regarding the Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113), I respectfully request the following:

1. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON application for a 115 kV transmission line, such a project could only be approved if the Commission makes the finding required by Minnesota Session Laws 2013, Ch. 57, Section 2. I am gravely concerned that the Applicants are withdrawing their applications merely to circumvent the "Hollydale law". I would like to assure that it would still apply to any new application.
2. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications be subject to the full permitting process, including the development of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) as well as evidentiary hearings before an administrative law judge. I am concerned that any new applications will not be subject to the full permitting process, thus limiting public input. The current records establish, as evidenced via two public petitions and countless communications, that hundreds of households throughout Plymouth and Medina take great interest in this project and request the full permitting process. This should carry to any future application as well.
3. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, the full permitting process be presided over by the honorable Eric L. Lipman. Since Mr. Lipman is well-acquainted with the public's concerns regarding this project, as established over years of public comment and evidentiary hearings, his continued ability to preside over future proceedings will promote efficiency and effectiveness of the process.
4. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such CON and or routing dockets include the current records of dockets 12-113 (need) and 11-152 (routing), respectively. The public has gone to great lengths and costs to establish the records for the current dockets. Ignoring these records in any future permitting process would require a significant re-investment of time and money on behalf of the public.
5. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications exclude any and all portions of the current 69kV corridor as a proposed route. The current records have established that there is widespread opposition to the use of the current 69kV corridor for the purpose of a high voltage transmission line. The current records have also established that the current 69kV

east of the Hollydale substation has been decommissioned since 2006, and that west of the Hollydale substation, the line is used only in case of emergency. It is the public's intent to pursue removal of the existing 69kV corridor and the corresponding easements altogether.

Thank you for considering my requests,
Kevin Moody
19510 39th Ave N
Plymouth MN 54446

From: [Leo Grinberg](#)
To: [#PUC Public Comments](#)
Subject: Fwd: in regards to Hollydale 115kV HVTL
Date: Monday, December 16, 2013 12:12:19 PM

Dear Sir/Madam,

Regarding the Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113), I respectfully request the following:

1. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON application for a 115 kV transmission line, such a project could only be approved if the Commission makes the finding required by Minnesota Session Laws 2013, Ch. 57, Section 2. I am gravely concerned that the Applicants are withdrawing their applications merely to circumvent the "Hollydale law". I would like to assure that it would still apply to any new application.
2. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications be subject to the full permitting process, including the development of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) as well as evidentiary hearings before an administrative law judge. I am concerned that any new applications will not be subject to the full permitting process, thus limiting public input. The current records establish, as evidenced via two public petitions and countless communications, that hundreds of households throughout Plymouth and Medina take great interest in this project and request the full permitting process. This should carry to any future application as well.
3. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, the full permitting process be presided over by the honorable Eric L. Lipman. Since Mr. Lipman is well-acquainted with the public's concerns regarding this project, as established over years of public comment and evidentiary hearings, his continued ability to preside over future proceedings will promote efficiency and effectiveness of the process.
4. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such CON and or routing dockets include the current records of dockets 12-113 (need) and 11-152 (routing), respectively. The public has gone to great lengths and costs to establish the records for the current dockets. Ignoring these records in any future permitting process would require a significant re-investment of time and money on behalf of the public.
5. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications exclude any and all portions of the current 69kV corridor as a proposed route.

The current records have established that there is widespread opposition to the use of the current 69kV corridor for the purpose of a high voltage transmission line. The current records have also established that the current 69kV east of the Hollydale substation has been decommissioned since 2006, and that west of the Hollydale substation, the line is used only in case of emergency. It is the public's intent to pursue removal of the existing 69kV corridor and the corresponding easements altogether.

Thank you for considering my requests,

Leo Grinberg
17012 39th Ave N
Plymouth, MN 55446

From: [Kami Pohl](#)
To: [#PUC_Public_Comments](#)
Subject: Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113)
Date: Monday, December 16, 2013 12:06:25 PM

To Whom it May Concern,

Regarding the Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113), I respectfully request the following:

1. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON application for a 115 kV transmission line, such a project could only be approved if the Commission makes the finding required by Minnesota Session Laws 2013, Ch. 57, Section 2. I am gravely concerned that the Applicants are withdrawing their applications merely to circumvent the "Hollydale law". I would like to assure that it would still apply to any new application.
2. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications be subject to the full permitting process, including the development of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) as well as evidentiary hearings before an administrative law judge. I am concerned that any new applications will not be subject to the full permitting process, thus limiting public input. The current records establish, as evidenced via two public petitions and countless communications, that hundreds of households throughout Plymouth and Medina take great interest in this project and request the full permitting process. This should carry to any future application as well.
3. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, the full permitting process be presided over by the honorable Eric L. Lipman. Since Mr. Lipman is well-acquainted with the public's concerns regarding this project, as established over years of public comment and evidentiary hearings, his continued ability to preside over future proceedings will promote efficiency and effectiveness of the process.
4. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such CON and or routing dockets include the current records of dockets 12-113 (need) and 11-152 (routing), respectively. The public has gone to great lengths and costs to establish the records for the current dockets. Ignoring these records in any future permitting process would require a significant re-investment of time and money on behalf of the public.
5. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications exclude any and all portions of the current 69kV corridor as a proposed route. The current records have established that there is widespread opposition to the use of the current 69kV corridor for the purpose of a high voltage transmission line. The current records have also established that the current 69kV east of the Hollydale substation has been decommissioned since 2006, and that west of the Hollydale substation, the line is used only in case of emergency. It is the public's intent to pursue removal of the existing 69kV corridor and the corresponding easements altogether.

Thank you for considering my requests,

Kami Pohl

From: [Gregory G Gibson](#)
To: [#PUC Public Comments](#)
Cc: ggibson4@comcast.net
Subject: Hollydale project
Date: Monday, December 16, 2013 11:49:27 AM

Dear Sir/Madam,

Regarding the Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113), I respectfully request the following:

1. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON application for a 115 kV transmission line, such a project could only be approved if the Commission makes the finding required by Minnesota Session Laws 2013, Ch. 57, Section 2. I am gravely concerned that the Applicants are withdrawing their applications merely to circumvent the "Hollydale law". I would like to assure that it would still apply to any new application.
2. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications be subject to the full permitting process, including the development of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) as well as evidentiary hearings before an administrative law judge. I am concerned that any new applications will not be subject to the full permitting process, thus limiting public input. The current records establish, as evidenced via two public petitions and countless communications, that hundreds of households throughout Plymouth and Medina take great interest in this project and request the full permitting process. This should carry to any future application as well.
3. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, the full permitting process be presided over by the honorable Eric L. Lipman. Since Mr. Lipman is well-acquainted with the public's concerns regarding this project, as established over years of public comment and evidentiary hearings, his continued ability to preside over future proceedings will promote efficiency and effectiveness of the process.
4. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such CON and or routing dockets include the current records of dockets 12-113 (need) and 11-152 (routing), respectively. The public has gone to great lengths and costs to establish the records for the current dockets. Ignoring these records in any future permitting process would require a significant re-investment of time and money on behalf of the public.

5. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications exclude any and all portions of the current 69kV corridor as a proposed route. The current records have established that there is widespread opposition to the use of the current 69kV corridor for the purpose of a high voltage transmission line. The current records have also established that the current 69kV east of the Hollydale substation has been decommissioned since 2006, and that west of the Hollydale substation, the line is used only in case of emergency. It is the public's intent to pursue removal of the existing 69kV corridor and the corresponding easements altogether.

Thank you for considering my requests,

GGG

Gregory G. Gibson

4300 Niagara Lane N, Plymouth MN 55446

Gregory G Gibson

Senior General Manager

G&K Services

2108 Washington Ave N

Minneapolis MN 55411

Phone: 612-287-6650 (Direct) 612-221-2176 (Cell)

Fax: 612-521-8271

www.gkservices.com

Delivering Uniform Service Excellence.™

We're social. Follow us.    

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.

From: [Leslie Schmidt](#)
To: [#PUC Public Comments](#)
Subject: Hollydale project
Date: Monday, December 16, 2013 11:50:24 AM

Dear Sir/Madam,

Regarding the Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113), I respectfully request the following:

1. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON application for a 115 kV transmission line, such a project could only be approved if the Commission makes the finding required by Minnesota Session Laws 2013, Ch. 57, Section 2. I am gravely concerned that the Applicants are withdrawing their applications merely to circumvent the "Hollydale law". I would like to assure that it would still apply to any new application.
2. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications be subject to the full permitting process, including the development of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) as well as evidentiary hearings before an administrative law judge. I am concerned that any new applications will not be subject to the full permitting process, thus limiting public input. The current records establish, as evidenced via two public petitions and countless communications, that hundreds of households throughout Plymouth and Medina take great interest in this project and request the full permitting process. This should carry to any future application as well.
3. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, the full permitting process be presided over by the honorable Eric L. Lipman. Since Mr. Lipman is well-acquainted with the public's concerns regarding this project, as established over years of public comment and evidentiary hearings, his continued ability to preside over future proceedings will promote efficiency and effectiveness of the process.
4. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such CON and or routing dockets include the current records of dockets 12-113 (need) and 11-152 (routing), respectively. The public has gone to great lengths and costs to establish the records for the current dockets. Ignoring these records in any future permitting process would require a significant re-investment of time and money on behalf of the public.
5. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications exclude any and all portions of the current 69kV corridor as a proposed route. The current records have established that there is widespread opposition to the use of the current 69kV corridor for the purpose of a high voltage transmission line. The current records have also established that the current 69kV east of the Hollydale substation has been decommissioned since 2006, and that west of the Hollydale substation, the line is used only in case of emergency. It is the public's intent to pursue removal of the existing 69kV corridor and the corresponding easements altogether.

Thank you for considering my requests,

Leslie Schmidt

lrpschmidt@me.com

Home: 763.383.1235

Mobile: 763.242.6572

From: [Jeanine Weisbeck](#)
To: [#PUC Public Comments](#)
Subject: Hollydale, 11-152 and 12-113 - for posting in docket
Date: Monday, December 16, 2013 11:51:27 AM

To Whom it May Concern:

Please be advised that I am requesting that the Commission allow Xcel Energy and GRE to withdraw their Applications, and that they be prohibited from using the 69 kV corridor through Plymouth and Medina in any future transmission applications. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Jeanine Weisbeck
1742 Morgan Road
Medina, MN 55356



From: [Ryan Weisbeck](#)
To: [#PUC Public Comments](#)
Subject: Hollydale, 11-152 and 12-113 - for posting in docket
Date: Monday, December 16, 2013 11:55:46 AM

To Whom it May Concern:

Please be advised that I am requesting that the Commission allow Xcel Energy and GRE to withdraw their Applications, and that they be prohibited from using the 69 kV corridor through Plymouth and Medina in any future transmission applications. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Ryan Weisbeck
1742 Morgan Road
Medina, MN 55356

From: kathyslw@comcast.net
To: [#PUC Public Comments](#)
Date: Monday, December 16, 2013 12:03:51 PM

Dear Sir,

Regarding the Hollydale 115kV HVTL project (MN PUC dockets 11-152 and 12-113), I respectfully request the following:

1. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON application for a 115 kV transmission line, such a project could only be approved if the Commission makes the finding required by Minnesota Session Laws 2013, Ch. 57, Section 2. I am gravely concerned that the Applicants are withdrawing their applications merely to circumvent the "Hollydale law". I would like to assure that it would still apply to any new application.
2. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications be subject to the full permitting process, including the development of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) as well as evidentiary hearings before an administrative law judge. I am concerned that any new applications will not be subject to the full permitting process, thus limiting public input. The current records establish, as evidenced via two public petitions and countless communications, that hundreds of households throughout Plymouth and Medina take great interest in this project and request the full permitting process. This should carry to any future application as well.
3. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, the full permitting process be presided over by the honorable Eric L. Lipman. Since Mr. Lipman is well-acquainted with the public's concerns regarding this project, as established over years of public comment and evidentiary hearings, his continued ability to preside over future proceedings will promote efficiency and effectiveness of the process.
4. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such CON and or routing dockets include the current records of dockets 12-113 (need) and 11-152 (routing), respectively. The public has gone to great lengths and costs to establish the records for the current dockets. Ignoring these records in any future permitting process would require a significant re-investment of time and money on behalf of the public.
5. That any acceptance of Applicants' petition for withdrawal provide that should Applicants resubmit a CON and or routing application for a 115 kV transmission line, such applications exclude any and all portions of the current 69kV corridor as a proposed route. The current records have established that there is widespread opposition to the use of the current 69kV corridor for the purpose of a high voltage transmission line. The current records have also established that the current 69kV east of the Hollydale substation has been decommissioned since 2006, and that west of the Hollydale substation, the line is used only in case of emergency. It is the public's intent to pursue removal of the existing 69kV corridor and the corresponding

easements altogether.
Thank you for considering my requests,
Kathy Anderson