

JON S. CORZINE Governor JOSEPH L. FIORDALISO Commissioner Tel: (973) 648-3021 Fax: (973) 468-8514

January 6, 2009

#### SERVICE LIST

Re:

I/M/O the Petition of Public Service Electric and Gas Company for a Determination Pursuant to the Provisions of N.J.S.A. 40:55D-19

(Susquehanna – Roseland)

BPU Docket No.: EM09010035

#### Dear Counsel:

Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 1:1-15.2, I am notifying the parties in BPU Docket No. EM09010035 that I intend to take official notice of the December 21, 2009 Motion to Withdraw Application and Terminate Proceeding, and the December 29, 2009 Amendment to that Motion (together, the "PATH Withdrawal"), filed with the Virginia State Corporation Commission by PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission Corporation in Case No. PUE-2009-00043 (the "PATH Proceeding").

I intend to take official notice of these documents based on the following:

- They state that updated queue information and load forecasts, as well as the
  availability of additional demand response resources, suggest that the Potomac
  Appalachian Transmission Highline ("PATH") appears not to be needed in
  2014 as a result of a reduction in the scope and severity of observed NERC
  reliability violations;
- They further state that PJM will consider PATH in its 2010 Regional Transmission Expansion Plan, to determine when PATH will be needed to resolve NERC reliability violations;
- 3. In response to these statements, the Applicant in the PATH Proceeding has stated that it "no longer supports the Application on file with the Commission" in the PATH Proceeding, has sought to withdraw its application for certification of PATH, and has stated that it does not expect to file a future

- application earlier than the third quarter of 2010 and has not stated any time by which it will file such an application;
- If updated queue information and load forecasts, and the availability of additional demand response resources, were to affect the need for the Susquehanna-Roseland project, that would be relevant to a decision in this matter; and
- 5. The Petitioner, Rate Counsel, Municipal Intervenors, Stop the Lines, Environmental Intervenors, and the Montville Township Board of Education filed reply briefs in this matter on January 6, 2010. The reply briefs of Rate Counsel, Municipal Intervenors, Stop the Lines, and Environmental Intervenors all include arguments arising out of the PATH Proceeding. N.J.A.C. 1:1-15.1 precludes the Board from considering the evidence cited by these intervenors unless it has been "admitted by the judge and included in the record." Taking official notice of that evidence pursuant to N.J.A.C. 1:1-15.2 will enable the Board to consider the evidence with only the slightest delay in the proceeding.

I am setting a deadline of Friday, January 15, 2010 for parties to contest the material in question or otherwise state their views regarding taking of official notice of this material.

Very truly yours,

Joseph L. Fiordaliso

Commissioner

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities

## Virginia State Corporation Commission eFiling CASE Document Cover Sheet

Case Number (if already assigned) PUE-20

PUE-2009-00043

Case Name (if known) Application of PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission

Corporation for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct Facilities: 765 kV Transmission Line through Loudoun, Frederick and Clarke Counties

Document Type EXMO

**Document Description Summary** Cover letter, PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission

Corporation's Motion to Withdraw Application and

**Terminate Proceeding** 

Total Number of Pages

18

**Submission ID** 

1846

eFiling Date Stamp

12/21/2009 9:22:33AM



HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP RIVERFRONT PLAZA, EAST TOWER 951 EAST BYRD STREET RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219-4074

TEL 804 • 788 • 8200 FAX 804 • 788 • 8218

RICHARD D. GARY DIRECT DIAL: 804-788-8330 EMAIL: rgary@hunton.com

FILE NO: 27364.71

December 21, 2009

## Via Electronic Filing

Hon. Joel H. Peck Clerk State Corporation Commission Document Control Center Tyler Building, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor 1300 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

Application of

PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission Corporation for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct Facilities: 765 kV Transmission Line through Loudoun, Frederick, and Clarke Counties Case No. PUE-2009-00043

Dear Mr. Peck:

Enclosed is PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission Corporation's Motion to Withdraw Application and Terminate Proceeding in Case No. PUE-2009-00043.

Sincerely-yours,

Richard D. Gary

RDG/tms Enclosure

cc·

Hon. Alexander F. Skirpan, Jr.

William H. Chambliss, Esq.

Service List

Noelle J. Coates, Esq.

## BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

APPLICATION OF	)	
	)	
PATH ALLEGHENY VIRGINIA	)	
TRANSMISSION CORPORATION	)	Case No. PUE-2009-00043
	)	
For certificates of public convenience	)	
and necessity to construct facilities:	)	
765 kV Transmission Line through	)	
Loudoun, Frederick, and Clarke Counties	j	

### MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPLICATION AND TERMINATE PROCEEDING

PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission Corporation ("PATH-VA") moves the State Corporation Commission (the "Commission") to allow the withdrawal of the Application it filed on May 19, 2009 that requested the Commission's approval and certification of electric transmission facilities (the "Potomac Appalachian Transmission Highline," or "PATH Project"). PATH-VA's current intention is to file a new application in early 2010 based on the most current information then available with regard to the PATH Project and to propose a procedural schedule for the Commission's consideration that will be coordinated with the procedural schedules for the West Virginia and Maryland Public Service Commissions' consideration of the portions of the PATH Project that will be constructed in those states. In support of this Motion, PATH-VA states the following.<sup>1</sup>

In May 2009, applications for certification of the PATH Project were filed in Virginia,

West Virginia and Maryland with the expectation that the procedural schedules in those three

states would be reasonably well aligned. Due to intervening events, these schedules are now out

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PATH-VA filed a Motion to Suspend Procedural Schedule concurrently with this Motion.

of alignment. The West Virginia Public Service Commission issued a procedural order on November 24, 2009 that, among other things, delayed the hearing on the West Virginia portion of the PATH Project until October 18, 2010.<sup>2</sup> In addition, the West Virginia procedural schedule provides for the supplementation of testimony on June 29, 2010. In Maryland, an application for approval and certification of the PATH Project is being filed concurrently with the filing of this motion and consideration of the Maryland portion of the PATH Project is also expected to proceed to evidentiary hearings in the second half of 2010. Thus, under the current schedule in Virginia the evidentiary hearings will conclude several months before the consideration of the other segments of the PATH Project begin.

The withdrawal of this pending Application in Virginia and a subsequent filing of a new application will allow the Commission and PATH-VA to coordinate the procedural schedule in Virginia with those of the other jurisdictions.<sup>3</sup> In addition, withdrawal of the application and the filing of a new application will permit this Commission to consider the electrical need for the PATH Project based on the same facts considered by its counterparts in West Virginia and Maryland.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, withdrawal of the Application now will allow the parties to avoid the significant preparation that will be required for the filing of PATH-VA's rebuttal testimony on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> PATH West Virginia Transmission Company, LLC, PATH Allegheny Transmission, LLC, et al., Order, Case No. 09-0770-E-CN (Nov. 24, 2009). Attached as Exhibit 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The withdrawal of the Application will eliminate any legal right of the PATH-VA to seek a federal construction permit regarding the current Application pursuant to Section 216(b)(1)(c)(i) of the Federal Power Act ("FPA"). The filing of the new application would initiate the one-year time period for the Commission's consideration of the application under that section of the FPA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> PATH-VA and The Potomac Edison Company, the PATH Project applicant in Maryland, expect to file supplemental testimony supporting their new applications in Virginia and Maryland, respectively, contemporaneously with the filing of supplemental testimony in the West Virginia proceeding.

December 31, 2009, for discovery by the parties as to that rebuttal testimony and, of course, for the lengthy hearing scheduled to begin on January 19, 2010.<sup>5</sup>

The Hearing Examiner, through the Commission's delegation of authority in the Order for Notice and Hearing, dated June 12, 2009, and Rule 5 VAC 5-20-120.A, Procedure Before Hearing Examiners, has authority to "rule on motions, matters of law and procedural questions," and thereby has the authority to grant this Motion. Due to the straightforward nature of this Motion, PATH-VA requests that the Hearing Examiner grant the Motion or recommend promptly to the Commission that the Motion be granted and establish an expedited schedule for comments to the Commission pursuant to Rule 5 VAC 5-20-120.

PATH-VA does not take lightly the decision to delay any aspect of this proceeding. The PATH Project is an important baseline transmission project with a long lead-time for construction. Yet in view of the current procedural status of this multi-state project, the most reasonable course of action is to coordinate the schedules in Virginia, West Virginia and Maryland.

WHEREFORE, PATH-VA requests that the Commission expeditiously grant this Motion, allow it to withdraw its Application, and terminate the proceeding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> To the extent appropriate and applicable, PATH-VA is amenable to the moving of the testimony that has been pre-filed in this current proceeding into the next proceeding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Hearing Examiners have granted Motions to Withdraw Applications on several occasions. See, e.g. Application of Robert A. Winney d/b/a The Waterworks Company of Franklin County, Case No. PUE-2000-00665, Report of Hearing Examiner (March 16, 2001) (finding that a motion to withdraw is "analogous to that of a nonsuit....); Commission v. Smith Mountain Water Co., Case No. PUE-1992-00082, Ruling of Hearing Examiner (July 16, 1993); and Commission v. Tidewater Water Co., Case. No. PUE-1991-00078, Ruling of Hearing Examiner (March 16, 1992).

Respectfully submitted,

 $By_{\underline{}}$ 

PATH ALLEGHENY VIRGINIA TRANSMISSION CORPORATION

Counsel

Dated: December 21, 2009

Richard D. Gary
W. Jeffery Edwards
Noelle J. Coates
Hunton & Williams LLP
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower
951 East Byrd Street
Richmond, VA 23219-4074
(804) 788-8328; fax (804) 788-8218
rgary@hunton.com
jedwards@hunton.com
ncoates@hunton.com

Randall B. Palmer
Jeffrey P. Trout
Allegheny Energy
800 Cabin Hill Drive
Greensburg, PA 15601-1689
724-838-6894
rpalmer@alleghenyenergy.com
jtrout2@alleghenypower.com

4

090770cg112409.wpd

# PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WEST VIRGINIA CHARLESTON

At a session of the PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WEST VIRGINIA in the City of Charleston on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of November 2009.

CASE NO. 09-0770-E-CN

PATH WEST VIRGINIA TRANSMISSION COMPANY, LLC; PATH ALLEGHENY TRANSMISSION COMPANY, LLC; PATH-WV LAND ACQUISITION COMPANY; AND PATH-ALLEGHENY LAND ACQUISITION COMPANY

Joint application for Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for the construction and operation of the West Virginia segments of a 765kV electric transmission line and related facilities in Putnam, Kanawha, Roane, Calhoun, Braxton, Lewis, Upshur, Barbour, Tucker, Preston, Grant, Hardy, Hampshire, and Jefferson Counties, including modifications to the Amos Substation in Putnam County and a new substation in Hardy County, and for related relief.

## COMMISSION ORDER

This order (i) denies the motions to dismiss, (ii) tolls the statutory due date, and (iii) establishes a procedural schedule.

#### **BACKGROUND**

On May 15, 2009, the PATH West Virginia Transmission Company, LLC ("PATH-WV"), PATH Allegheny Transmission Company, LLC ("PATH-Allegheny"), the PATH-WV Land Acquisition Company, and the PATH-Allegheny Land Acquisition Company (all four, collectively, "Applicants") filed a joint application for certificates of public convenience and necessity and for related relief ("Joint Application") pursuant to W.Va. Code §§24-2-11 and 24-2-11a. The PATH Project is approximately 225 miles of 765 kV electric transmission line and related facilities in the fourteen counties of Putnam, Kanawha, Roane, Calhoun, Braxton, Lewis, Upshur, Barbour, Tucker, Preston, Grant, Hardy, Hampshire, and Jefferson. The Applicants also seek a certificate of public convenience and necessity (i) to jointly construct, own, operate, and maintain the new Welton Spring Substation, as another part of the PATH Project in West Virginia to be constructed two miles north of Old Fields in Hardy County, and (ii) to construct, own, operate, and maintain certain modifications to the Amos Substation owned by Appalachian Power Company and Ohio Power Company.

On November 10, 2009, the Commission issued an Order (i) staying the current procedural schedule, (ii) granting the parties additional time to file recommendations regarding the Commission Staff motion to dismiss, and (iii) granting the request of two parties to withdraw from the case.

Additional procedural information will be addressed as necessary in the Discussion section of this Order.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Motions To Dismiss

On October 28, 2009, Staff filed a Motion to Dismiss the Filing as Insufficient or in the Alternative, Require Path to Request a Tolling and Implement Further Case Processing Procedures. Staff argued that (i) the failure to re-file the dismissed Maryland proceeding renders this project incomplete, (ii) the application should be supported by current economic and PJM load forecast information to determine the need for the PATH Project and that the updated information in the 2010 Regional Transmission Expansion Plan ("RTEP") was not included in updates to the 2009 RTEP but is potentially crucial in determining the need for the PATH Project, and (iii) Staff and Intervenors will be prejudiced by expending limited resources to review an incomplete project and stale need-related information, and then analyze updated information as it becomes available.

Staff asked that the Commission (i) dismiss this case without prejudice, (ii) permit the Applicants to re-file concurrent with the filing of a proper certificate application before the Maryland Public Service Commission ("Maryland PSC"), and (iii) require the Applicants to support the re-filed West Virginia application with the updated PJM annual load forecast and February 2010 RTEP. In the alternative Staff recommended proceeding with the pending application if the Applicants request to toll the statutory due date subject to certain other conditions.

On November 4, 2009, the Applicants filed a response to the Staff motion to dismiss. In opposition to the Staff motion the Applicants stated that (i) the Staff bases to dismiss or toll this case are not warranted; (ii) the absence of a pending application for certification of the PATH Project in Maryland does not support any delay in the West Virginia portion of the proceeding; and (iii) feasibility of further study cannot be asserted as a justification for postponing the evidentiary hearing because there is always more up-to-date analysis that can be performed. In support of an alternate tolling of the statutory deadline Applicants conceded that delayed consideration and certification of the Maryland segments of the project provide an opportunity for this Commission and its sister commission in Virginia to base their decisions on updated evidence of electrical need. The Applicants proposed tolling the statutory decision due date in West Virginia if a satisfactory extension of the current procedural schedule were put into place.

On November 10, 2009, Staff filed a reply to the Applicants' response. Staff stated that (i) the Applicants' offer to toll the statutory deadline contingent on a specific procedural schedule was not acceptable, (ii) the Commission should not hold two hearings to address need and non-need testimony, and (iii) the parties should be given more time to file testimony on non-need issues.

Numerous parties filed in support of the Staff motion to dismiss. Several of those supportive filings made further recommendations that the Commission extend or stay the procedural deadline pending a decision on the Applicants offer to toll.

On November 10, 2009, the Commission issued an order (i) suspending the procedural schedule in this case and (ii) granting the parties until November 17, 2009 to file a final response to the Staff motion to dismiss and offer to toll tendered by the Applicants.

Several intervenors filed responses as permitted by the November 10, 2009 order. In addition to those described below, the majority of the comments opposed splitting the testimony and hearing between need and non-need issues as proposed by the Applicants.

On November 16, 2009, Intervenors Eric Burleyson and Kirsten Weiblen filed a Motion to Dismiss the Filing as Insufficient arguing that the Commission should (i) dismiss the case without prejudice; (ii) allow re-filing concurrently with the filing of a proper certificate application before the Maryland PSC and before the Virginia State Corporation Commission; and (iii) require any re-filed application to be supported with the forthcoming PJM annual load forecast and the updated RTEP. The Intervenors also described a scenario under which the Applicants might file for approval of the proposed line before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") under the "backstop" provision of Section 216 of the Federal Power Act ("FPA").

On November 17, 2009, (i) the Sierra Club, Inc., and the West Virginia Highlands Conservancy, (ii) the Jefferson County Intervenor Group and the Tucker County Landowners, and (iii) the Consumer Advocate Division, each filed separate responses to the Staff motion to dismiss. While recommending the Commission grant the motion to dismiss, each also provided the Commission with a proposed procedural schedule for use in this case in the event the Commission decided not to dismiss. All of the parties were opposed to splitting the testimony and hearing between the need and non-need issues.

On November 17, 2009, the Applicants filed a Revised Proposal to Toll Statutory Decision Due Date and Extend Procedural Schedule. The Applicants (i) stated that the Potomac Edison Company plans to re-file an application seeking certification of those portions of the PATH Project in Maryland, including a terminus at the Kemptown Substation, (ii) proposed tolling the statutory due date until February 24, 2011, and (iii) submitted a revised procedural schedule that did not require multiple hearings and testimony filings to address need and non-need issues.

## Commission Decision Regarding the Motions to Dismiss

The motions to dismiss and filings in support thereof cited the (i) need for updated information on the question of need, and (ii) dismissal of the Maryland application, as sufficient reason to dismiss this case.

The benefit of updated information is not unique to this case. In addressing the need for updated information, we will begin by stating the obvious: If no one used electricity, there would be no need to build transmission lines. It follows that the amount of electricity required and the need for this particular line is a critical question underlying this proceeding. The task of defining and measuring that need creates the illusion that better and more accurate information is just beyond the horizon and that the Commission cannot issue a fair decision unless it first considers that future information. This belief manifests more frequently during a turbulent economy but is present in almost all cases that rely on projections of future demand. Nevertheless, the adjudicatory process requires that the Commission select a deadline for the submission of new information, and then make a decision based on the evidence.

The Maryland PSC dismissal of the PATH proceeding potentially exacerbates the problem described in the above paragraph. For instance, if the Commission moved forward in this case, but PATH does not re-file the application to build the transmission line in Maryland, the parties in this State would have expended time and resources for naught. Even a significant delay in a Maryland refiling could create the need to reopen the proceedings in this State based on unanticipated routing or other changes dictated by the Maryland PSC. All things considered, it is beneficial to have the proceedings before the utility commissions of Virginia and Maryland moving forward at a pace at least roughly parallel to our own.

The proposed grounds to dismiss suggest that the Commission make a determination that either of the above reasons is sufficient as a matter of law to dismiss this case; i.e., the Commission should dismiss because the Applicants would be unable to support the need for a certificate unless (i) they were able to present updated information or (ii) the application had been re-filed in Maryland. Subsequent filings, however, have diluted the persuasiveness of the reasons to dismiss. First, the revised proposal to toll will assure the availability of updated information. Specifically, tolling the running of the statutory deadline will assure that the PJM February 2010 RTEP will be filed in this case and the parties, as well as the Commission, will have sufficient time to evaluate the issues presented by that updated study. Second, the assurance that the PATH Project will be re-filed in Maryland avoids proceeding in West Virginia without parallel filings in other affected jurisdictions.

The Commission will deny the motions to dismiss.

## Procedural Schedule

Several parties submitted procedural schedules. The schedules were substantially similar. The Commission will adopt the schedule submitted by the Applicants and endorsed by Staff. There are several advantages afforded by the Applicants schedule: (i) the extended discovery period following issuance of the February 2010 RTEP and (ii) a submission date for the Applicants testimony to allow inclusion of the May 2010 RPM capacity auction. The Commission will adopt the following schedule for use in this case.

Event	Date
Discovery reopens on issues of electrical need.	Monday, February 1, 2010
Applicants file supplemental testimony on issue of electrical need and any other issues requiring supplementation.	Noon, Tuesday, June 29, 2010
Deadline for propounding discovery on supplemental testimony due June 29, 2010.	Noon, Tuesday, July 13, 2010
Staff's and Intervenors' prepared direct testimony and rebuttal to the direct testimony of Applicants.	Noon, Tuesday, August 31, 2010
Deadline for propounding discovery in, response to testimony due August 31, 2010.	Noon, Tuesday, September 7, 2010
Applicants' rebuttal testimony to the direct testimony for Staff and Intervenors, and Staff and Intervenor rebuttal testimony to the direct testimony of one another.	Noon, Tuesday, September 28, 2010
Deadline for propounding discovery in response to the rebuttal testimony due September 28, 2010.	Noon, Tuesday, October 5, 2010
Written opening statements.	Noon, Thursday, October 14, 2010
Evidentiary hearing begins.	Monday, October 18, 2010
Evidentiary hearing ends.	Tuesday, November 2, 2010
Initial briefs and proposed orders.	Noon, Tuesday, November 30, 2010
Reply briefs.	Noon, Thursday, December 16, 2010
Deadline for Commission decision.	Thursday, February 24, 2011

The parties should note that the discovery period beginning February 1, 2010 and ending July 13, 2010 is limited to (i) the issue of need, and (ii) any issues supplemented by the June 29, 2010 testimony filed by the Applicants. Additionally, the "party responsibilities" outlined in the Commission August 4, 2009 order, and the specific rules regarding service and filings of documents and discovery described in the August 21, 2009 order remain in effect.

The "Backstop" Provision

The Energy Policy Act of 2005 added Section 216(b) to the FPA giving the FERC "backstop" transmission siting authority under certain conditions. The language in Section 216(b)(1)(C)(i) allows FERC to permit the siting and construction of new transmission lines when the state authority has withheld approval for more than one year after the filing of an application seeking approval. The Commission does not have authority to modify the one year triggering period in the FPA. The Commission interprets the Applicants proposal to toll this proceeding as an indication that the Applicants will not avail themselves of the backstop provision pending resolution of the current proceeding. The Applicants should immediately notify the Commission if this interpretation is not correct.

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1. The motions to dismiss primarily focus on updating information on the question of need and the current state of the PATH Project filing before the Maryland PSC.
- 2. The Applicants submitted a revised proposal to toll, a revised procedural schedule endorsed by Staff, and an assertion that the PATH Project will be re-filed in Maryland by the end of this year.
- 3. The procedural schedule submitted by the Applicants and endorsed by Staff will provide (i) an extended discovery period on the question of need and (ii) revised testimony on need including the February 2010 RTEP and the May 2010 RPM capacity auction.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. The revised proposal to toll supported by the Applicant and assertion regarding re-filing of the PATH Project in Maryland renders it unnecessary for the Commission to deliberate further on the motions to dismiss.
  - 2. It is reasonable to deny the motions to dismiss.
- 3. It is reasonable to grant the Applicants revised proposal to toll the running of the statutory deadline in this proceeding for 247 days, which shall establish a new deadline of February 24, 2011 for a Commission decision.

- 4. The procedural schedule proposed by the Applicants and endorsed by Staff is reasonable and will be adopted by the Commission in this case. The "party responsibilities" outlined in the Commission August 4, 2009 order, and the specific rules regarding service and filings of documents and discovery described in the August 21, 2009 order remain in effect.
- 5. It is reasonable to interpret the Applicants proposal to toll the running of the statutory deadline in this proceeding as an indication that the Applicants will not avail themselves of the right to seek a permit from the Federal Energy Regulations Commission pursuant to §216(b) of the Federal Power Act a provision pending resolution of the current proceeding.

#### **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Staff and the Burleyson/Weiblen motions to dismiss this proceeding are denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Applicants revised proposal to toll is hereby granted. The statutory due date in this matter is tolled until Thursday, February 24, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the procedural schedule established herein, including the hearing beginning October 18, 2010, is adopted for use in this proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Applicants must notify the Commission within five days of the date of this Order if they disagree that their proposal to delay a decision in this case by tolling the West Virginia statutory suspension period is also an agreement by the Applicants that they will not avail themselves of the Federal permitting process pursuant to \$216(b) of the Federal Power Act of 2005.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission Executive Secretary serve a copy of this Order on all parties of record via electronic mail or United States First Class Mail as appropriate, and on Commission Staff by hand delivery. JJW/slc 090770cg.wpd

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 21<sup>st</sup> day of December 2009, a true copy of the foregoing Motion was delivered by hand or mailed, first-class, postage prepaid, to the attached service list, which was copied from the Commission's electronic service list in Case No. PUE-2009-00043 on December 21, 2009 and to the following:

C. Meade Browder, Jr., Esq. Office of Attorney General 900 E. Main Street 2nd Floor Richmond, VA 23219

Wayne N. Smith, Esq. Frederick Ochsenhirt, Esq. State Corporation Commission 1300 East Main Street Tyler Building, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Richmond, VA 23219

## SERVICE LIST CASE No. PUE-2009-00043 AS OF DECEMBER 21 2009

Baldwin Pamela L	39595 Weatherlea Farm Lane
Para W.T.	Lovensylle VA 20160
Benson, W. T.	Esquire Piedmont Environmental Council
	PO Box 460
	Warrenton VA 20188
Browder, Jr., C. M. Andrews	Sr. Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General
	Division of Consumer Counsel
	900 East Main St, 2nd Ft Bichmond VA 23219
Burger, John D.	39605 Wenner Rd Lovettsville VA 20180
Burger, Mary S.	39605 Wenner Hd
	Lovetisville VA 20180
Cadden, Kevin F.	1602 Aerie Lane McLean VA 22101
Cardamon; Ana L	12226 Harpers Egrev Fig
	Puicelivile VA-20192
Cardamon, Don C.	12226 Harpers Ferry Rd
Chlebowski, Casimir "Casey"	Purcellville VA 20132 12041 Momingstar Place
Cincoresti, Casillii Casey	Lovettsville VA 20180
Crowley, James K.	PO Box 344
	40267 Quarter Branch Rd
Da Luz, Beatriz A	Lovettsville VA 20180
	40267 Quarter Branch Rd
	Lovetsvile VA 20180
Dellano, Josephine B.	2567 E 21st St Brooklyn NY 11235-2918
Dillen, Abigail M.	156 William St Ste 800
	New York NY 110025
Dunagin, James	13226 Crest Lane Purcellville VA 20132
Dunagin, Valerie	13226 Grest Lane
	Princellowe VA 20132
Dunlap, Daniel C.	39593 Sugar Maple Lane Lovettsville VA 20180
Duniap, Elizabeth S.	S9593 Sugar Maple Lane
	Eovetsville VA 20180
Fisher-Guarino, Taina G.	11771 Folly Lane
Flannery, IL John P	Lovettsville VA 20180
	Campbell Miller Zimmerman PC
	19 E Warker St.
Fognano, Kenneth M.	Leosburg VA 20176 12915 Shady Lane
	Purcellville VA 20132
Gary, Richard D.	Esquire 7.
	Hunton & Williams LLP Fiverront Plaza, East Tower
	951 E Byrd St Richmond VA 23219-4074

Ghìorzi Baus, Angela	313 Ross St
	Morgantown WV 26501
Ghiorzi, Alfred T.	39558.Wenner Rd Levetsville: VA 20180
Ghiorzi, Irene A.	39558 Wenner Rd
,	Lovettsville VA 20180
Ghorzi, Joyce	SV651 GHOCK Large
Object Therese	Evelsule /A 20180
Ghiorzi, Theresa	39558 Wenner Rd Lovettsville VA 20180
Gnierzi, Triomas J	39634 Shuizi Lane
	Loveiszijie VA 20180
Griffin, J. D.	29 N Braddock St
	PO Box 444 Winchester VA 22604
Guanno, Peter A	1777 Foly Lane:
	ovatisville VA 20180
Hall, Patricia A.	39540 Quarter Branch Rd
Hodgson, Carol L	Lovettsville VA 20180 11820 Bertin Tumbike
nugson, caro E	coepyvile VA 20180
Hodgson, Gordon M.	11820 Berlin Turnpike
	Lovettsville VA 20180
Hoffman, Airnea E.	89883 Calocun View Lane
Hoffman, James S.	Kovettsville VA 20180 39883 Catoctin View Lane
Holanan, James S.	Lovettsville VA 20180
Hyatt, Doreen O	39665Wenne/Rd
	Lovettsville VA 20180
Hyatt, Franklin J.	39687 Wenner Rd
Hyatt Shauna	Lovettsville VA 20180
	Lovensylle VA 201E0
Johnson, Lauren	190 Hannah Court
	Winchester VA 22603
Johnson Michael	190 Hanneh Court 3 Winchester VA 22603
Kershner, Robert J.	11688 Purcell Rd
	Lovettsville VA 20180
Lawson, Karen	MY50 Berin Tok
Lauran Kaith	Coverte ille VA 20180
Lawson, Keith	11750 Berlin Tpk Lovettsville VA 20180
Loudoup County Board of Supery	ROB 7000
	. Leesburg.VA 20177-7000
MacHorton, J. G.	12910 Crest Lane Purcelville VA 20132
Malick, Mark A	12/38 Harpers Ferry Rd
	Purceliville VA 20132
Marmet, Robert G.	Esquire
	Piedmont Environmental Council 45 Horner St
	PO Box 460
VOIX COMPANION AND A TUBBLE AND A STREET AND	Warrenton VA 20188
Matarazzo, Christy A:	39625 Sugar Maple Lane
Matarazzo, William	Sovetisville VA:20180
waiaiazzo, willidili	39625 Sugar Maple Lane Lovettsville VA 20180
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

I

McAfee, Charlotte P	Esquire
and the second s	Hunton & Williams Riverkont Plaza, East Tower
The provided by the second of	95) C Byld Sig. Biologic VA 28219-4074
Meiser, Hala A.	8700 Lothbury Court Fairfax VA 22031
Meiser, Robert N.,	8700 to thibury Court.** Fairfax VA: 22091
Mohler, Nicholas L.	11479 Potomac Heights Lane
Murphy, Camille	Lovettsville VA 20180 1203/ Morningstar Place
Murphy, Timothy	Lowatts/Ille VA 20180 12031 Morningstar Place
Nickola, Tracey	Lovettsville VA 20180 12041 Mominestac Place
	Lovetisville VA 20180
Palmer, Randali B.	Esquire Allegheny Energy
Market And J. U.S. Parme and another instructions at 8 J. Sept. 2000, p. 1302 processing supplying supplying an extension of the control of t	800 Cabin Hill Drive Greensburg PA 15601-1689
Handles, frene	3999&Catoctin View Lane Covertsville VA 20180
Randles, Kenneth	39998 Catoctin View Lane Lovettsville VA 20180
Randolph, Kendall B.	(G295 Sagle Rd Hillsborg VA 20132
Rittner, Dawn	12001 Morningstar Pl
Rittner: Hanno	Lovettsville VA 20180 12001 Morningstar Place
Roberts, John R.	Loveitsville VA 20180 County Attorney
	County of Loudoun 1 Harrison St., SE/5th FI.
Rodriguez Charles R	Leesburg VA 20175-3102
	Purcellylle VA-20132
Rosenthal, Dawn L.	39763 Rivers Edge Lane Lovettsville VA 20180
Rosenthal, Glénn K.	39763 Bivers Edge Lane Exverts ville VA 20180
Silverman, Deanna	12011 Morningstar Place Lovettsville VA 20180
Silverman, Jacob 'Uay'.	12011:Morningstar Place Lovettsvilla VA 20180
Trout, Jeffrey P.	Esquire
	Allegheny Power 800 Cabin Hill Dr
Ulmer, Donald'A	Greensburg PA 15601 37964 Long Lane
Ulmer, Tylee M.	Lovettaville VA 20180 37964 Long Lane
Urbany, Alexjandra O	Lovettsville VA 20180 5507 Aona Maria Gourt
	WcteanVA 22101-1601
Vanderhye, Robert A.	801 Ridge Dr McLean VA 22101-1625
Wallington, James E.	MS83.Scott Morgan Larie Lovertsville VA 20180-1868

	Lovettsville VA 20180-1868
Williams, Roderick B.	Esquire: 1.2
	County of Frederick
	FOY N'Kent Si FL3
	Windhester VA 250
Zwicker, David	12220 Harpers Ferry Rd
Zwicker, David	The state of the s
Zwicker, David Zwicker, Lduise	12220 Harpers Ferry Rd
	12220 Harpers Ferry Rd Purcellville VA 20132

11583 Scott Morgan Lane

Wallington, Mary L.

## Virginia State Corporation Commission eFiling CASE Document Cover Sheet

Case Number (if already assigned) PUE-20

PUE-2009-00043

Case Name (if known) Application of PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission

Corporation for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct Facilities: 765 kV Transmission Line through Loudoun, Frederick, and Clarke Counties

Document Type EXMO

**Document Description Summary** Cover Letter, Amendment to Motion to Withdraw

Application and Terminate Proceeding, Service List

Total Number of Pages

Submission ID 1885

**eFiling Date Stamp** 12/29/2009 1:44:11PM

10



HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP RIVERFRONT PLAZA, EAST TOWER 951 EAST BYRD STREET RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219-4074

TEL 804 • 788 • 8200 FAX 804 • 788 • 8218

RICHARD D. GARY DIRECT DIAL: 804 • 788 • 8330 EMAIL: rgary@hunton.com

FILE NO: 27364.71

December 29, 2009

## Via Electronic Filing

Hon. Joel H. Peck Clerk State Corporation Commission Document Control Center Tyler Building, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor 1300 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

## Application of

PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission Corporation for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct Facilities: 765 kV Transmission Line through Loudoun, Frederick, and Clarke Counties Case No. PUE-2009-00043

Dear Mr. Peck:

Enclosed is PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission Corporation's Amendment to Motion to Withdraw Application and Terminate Proceeding in Case No. PUE-2009-00043.

Sincerely yours,

Richard D. Gary

RDG/tms Enclosure

cc:

Hon. Alexander F. Skirpan, Jr. William H. Chambliss, Esq.

Service List

Noelle J. Coates, Esq.

## BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

APPLICATION OF	)	
	)	
PATH ALLEGHENY VIRGINIA	)	
TRANSMISSION CORPORATION	)	Case No. PUE-2009-00043
	)	
For certificates of public convenience	)	
and necessity to construct facilities:	)	
765 kV Transmission Line through	)	
Loudoun, Frederick, and Clarke Counties	)	

## AMENDMENT TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPLICATION AND TERMINATE PROCEEDING

PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission Corporation ("PATH-VA") filed its Motion to Withdraw Application and Terminate proceeding ("Motion") on December 21, 2009, which requested the Commission's approval to withdraw the application for certification of electric transmission facilities (the "Potomac Appalachian Transmission Highline," or "PATH Project"). The Motion stated that PATH-VA's intention was to file a new application in early 2010 based on the most current information then available with regard to the PATH Project and to propose a procedural schedule for the Commission's consideration that would be aligned with the existing procedural schedule for the pending application in West Virginia and the recently-filed application in Maryland for the portions of the PATH Project that will be constructed in those states.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The West Virginia Public Service Commission recently granted a motion for modification of the procedural schedule in that state to consider the PATH Project's certification request. Simultaneous with the filing of the Motion, an application was filed in Maryland for authorization to construct the PATH Project.

On December 4, 2009, the Hearing Examiner requested that PATH-VA supplement the record in this proceeding with the results of additional load flow analyses. PJM has diligently pursued these sensitivity analyses, as requested by the Hearing Examiner. These sensitivity analyses, particularly Scenarios 3 and 4, include updated changes in generation projects with signed Interconnection Service Agreements, anticipated demand response and new energy efficiency resources that cleared the May 2009 RPM auction, and the 2009 load forecast (Scenario 3) and the recently released preliminary updated 2010 load forecast (Scenario 4). Although not fully completed, PJM's work has progressed to a point where, under Scenarios 3 and 4, the analysis indicates that the PATH Project would not be needed to resolve NERC reliability violations in 2014, as identified in the pending application. Consistent with its regional transmission planning responsibilities, PJM will incorporate the sensitivity analysis as noted above and perform a complete analysis through the more comprehensive 2010 RTEP process to determine when the PATH Project will be needed. The sensitivity analyses noted above, are not comprehensive and are not sufficient for the purpose of determining a need date for the project.

PJM has acknowledged these results to PATH-VA and has stated:

PJM is, at this time, completing a number of sensitivity analyses, as ordered by the Hearing Examiner in the Virginia proceeding, Case No. PUE-2009-00043, with respect to the need for the PATH Project. These analyses are nearing completion but suggest a delay in the need date for the Project. Specifically, scenarios that include the demand response resources that cleared through the 2012/13 RPM Base Residual Auction, as well as updated queue information and load forecasts, suggest that the PATH Project appears not to be needed in 2014 as a result of a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Although the Motion stated that PATH-VA's intention was to file a new application for the PATH Project in early 2010, there is no intention now to do so. PJM's ongoing review including the 2010 RTEP process will dictate when a future application for the PATH Project will be filed and that is not expected to be earlier than the third quarter of 2010.

reduction in the scope and severity of observed NERC reliability violations. Consistent with PJM processes, the PATH Project will be considered in the 2010 RTEP next year to determine when it will be needed to resolve NERC reliability violations. (Letter to James R. Haney, Vice President, PATH Allegheny Virginia Transmission Corporation and Michael Heyeck, Senior Vice President -Transmission, American Electric Power Service Corporation from Steven R. Herling, Vice President of Planning, PJM Interconnection L.L.C., dated December 28, 2009.)

These new developments raise questions about the ability of PATH-VA to support the Application now on file with the Commission that is based on a need for the PATH Project in 2014. To avoid any further administrative burden and expenditures of time and resources by the Commission, Staff and Respondents, PATH-VA believes these proceedings should be ended promptly by granting PATH-VA's Motion and allowing the withdrawal of this Application. Consistent with that belief and request, PATH-VA will, at the oral argument on the Motion scheduled for Wednesday, December 30, 2009, renew its motion to suspend the procedural schedule immediately.<sup>3</sup> In light of PJM's current analyses, approval of the PATH Project will not be pursued through the currently filed Application. Once PATH-VA receives PJM's full analysis, as documented by PJM in its 2010 RTEP process, PATH-VA will determine when an application will be pursued.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> PATH-VA filed a Motion to Suspend Procedural Schedule coincidental with the filing of this Motion. The Hearing Examiner denied that Motion in his Ruling of December 21, 2009 because to do so would make it "unlikely that the hearing could begin on January 19, 2010 ..." and thereby make it "very difficult to complete the case within the federally-mandated one-year period." Because the Applicant no longer supports the Application on file with the Commission and there is no longer a reason to have a hearing beginning on January 19, 2010, a suspension of the procedural schedule would be most appropriate and would stop all further expenditures of time and resources on this Application. If withdrawal is granted, PATH-VA, as a result of such withdrawal, will not request action by the FERC as to a construction permit for the PATH Project in Virginia pursuant to Section 216(b)(1)(c) of the Federal Power Act.

WHEREFORE, PATH-VA moves the Commission to grant its request to withdraw its application for certification of the PATH Project.

Respectfully submitted,

PATH ALLEGHENY VIRGINIA TRANSMISSION CORPORATION

Dated: December 29, 2009

Richard D. Gary
W. Jeffery Edwards
Noelle J. Coates
Hunton & Williams LLP
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower
951 East Byrd Street
Richmond, VA 23219-4074
(804) 788-8328; fax (804) 788-8218
rgary@hunton.com
jedwards@hunton.com
ncoates@hunton.com

Randall B. Palmer
Jeffrey P. Trout
Allegheny Energy
800 Cabin Hill Drive
Greensburg, PA 15601-1689
724-838-6894
rpalmer@alleghenyenergy.com
jtrout2@alleghenypower.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 29th day of December 2009, a true copy of the foregoing Motion was delivered by hand or mailed, first-class, postage prepaid, to the attached service list, which was copied from the Commission's electronic service list in Case No. PUE-2009-00043 on December 29, 2009 and to the following:

C. Meade Browder, Jr., Esq. Office of Attorney General 900 E. Main Street 2nd Floor Richmond, VA 23219

Wayne N. Smith, Esq. Frederick Ochsenhirt, Esq. State Corporation Commission 1300 East Main Street Tyler Building, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Richmond, VA 23219

## SERVICE LIST CASE NO. PUE-2009-00043 AS OF DECEMBER 29, 2009

Baldwin, Pamela b.	39595 Weatherles Farm Lane Coversville VA 20180
Benson, W. T.	Esquire
	Piedmont Environmental Council
	PO Box 460 Warrenton VA 20188
Browder, Sr. G. M.	S/: Assistant Alterney General
	Office of the Attorney General
the state of the s	Division of Consumer Counsel
	900 East Main St. 2nd Fl. Richmond VA, 28219
Burger, John D.	39605 Wenner Rd
_	Lovettsville VA 20180
Burger, Mary S.	39605 Werner Hd
Cadden, Kevin F.	Lovetteville VA 20180 1602 Aerie Lane
Cadden, Nevitt .	McLean VA 22101
Cardamon, Ana. Cardamon Ana.	12220 Harpers Ferry Rd
	Ruicellule VA 20132
Cardamon, Don C.	12226 Harpers Ferry Rd Purcellville VA 20132
Chiebowski, Casimir "Casey"	(2041 Mominostar Place
	Lovettsville VA 20180
Crowley, James K.	PO Box 344
	40267 Quarter Branch Rd Lovettsville VA 20180
Da Luz, Beatriz P	PO:Box344
	40267 Quarter Branch Fld
Dellara Jacobina D	Lovetsville VA 20180
Dellano, Josephine B.	2567 E 21st St Brooklyn NY 11235-2918
Dillen, Abigail M.	156William St Ste 800
	New York NY 10025
Dunagin, James	13226 Crest Lane Purcellville VA 20132
Dunagin Valerie	18226 Crest Lane 1
	Purcelfylle: VA 20132
Dunlap, Daniel C.	39593 Sugar Maple Lane Lovettsville VA 20180
Dunlap, Elizapeth S	39593 Sugar Maple Lane
	Lovetsville VA 20180
Fisher-Guarino, Taina G.	11771 Folly Lane
Clanaria II. Iraba D	Lovettsville VA 20180
Flannery, II, John P	Esquire Campbell Miller Zimmerman PC
	19.E-Market St
Farmers Konneth M	Leesburg VA 20176
Fognano, Kenneth M.	12915 Shady Lane Purcellville VA 20132
	. u.u

Gary, Richard D.	Esquire Hunton & Williams LLP
	Riverront Plaza East Tower 9518E-Byte 61 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Ghiorzi Baus, Angela	313 Ross St Morgantown WV 26501
Ghiorzi, Alfred T	39558 Wenner Ho Lavetisville VA 20180
Ghiorzi, Joyce	39558 Wenner Rd Lovettsville VA 20180
Ghiorzi, Theresa	39651 Chiorzi Lane Lovethsville VA 20180 39558 Wenner Rd
·	Lovettsville VA 20180
Ghiorzi, Thomas Julius III.	39651 Ghiorzi Lane Lovetsyille VA 20180
Griffin, J. D.	29 N Braddock St PO Box 444 Winchester VA 22604
Guanno, Peter A	11771 Folly Lane Lovettsville VA 20180
Hall, Patricia A.	39540 Quarter Branch Rd Lovettsville VA 20180
Hodgson, Garok L	i 1820 Berth Turopike Lovettsville:VA-20180
Hodgson, Gordon M.	11820 Berlin Turnpike Lovettsville VA 20180
Höffman, Aimee E	39883 Catedin View Land Envertsville VA 20180
Hoffman, James S.	39883 Catoctin View Lane Lovettsville VA 20180
Hyatt, Doreen O.	39565 Wenner Rd Lovettsville VA 20180
Hyatt, Franklin J.	39687 Wenner Rd Lovettsville VA 20180
Hyatt, Shauna	\$ 39687 Weiner Rd. * Overtisville VA 20180
Johnson, Lauren	190 Hannah Court Winchester VA 22603
Johnson Michael	190 Hannati Count Windhester VA 22603
Kershner, Robert J.	11688 Purcell Rd Lovettsville VA 20180
Lawson, Karen	11750 Berlin Tipk
Lawson, Keith	11750 Berlin Tpk Lovettsville VA 20180
Loudouri County Board of Supery	POE 7000 Leesburg VA: 20177-7000
MacHorton, J. G.	12910 Crest Lane Purceliville VA 20132
Malick, Mark A	12/108 Harpers Ferry Hd Purceliville VA 20132
Marmet, Robert G.	Esquire Piedmont Environmental Council
	45 Horner St PO Box 460 Warrenton VA 20188
	no contract the series of the contract of the

Matarazzo, Christy A	39625 Sugar Maple Lane
	Lovettsville VA 20180
Matarazzo, William	39625 Sugar Maple Lane Lovettsville VA 20180
McAfee, Charlotte P	Esquire Hunton &: Williams
	Riverpont Plaza, East Tower
	951 E Byld Si Richmond VA 28219-4074
Meiser, Hala A.	8700 Lothbury Court
	Fairfax VA 22031
Meiser, Robert N.	6700 Colibury Coun Fainax VA (2203)
Mohler, Nicholas L.	11479 Potomac Heights Lane
Murphy, Camille	Lovettsville VA 20180 2031 Morningstar Place
warpay, carme,	Lovettsville VA 20180
Murphy, Timothy	12031 Morningstar Place
Nickola Tracey	Lovettsville VA 20180 12041 Mcmingstar Place
	Lovelsville VA 20180
Palmer, Randali B.	Esquire Allegheny Energy
	800 Cabin Hill Drive
Randles, trene	Greensburg PA 15601-1689
Handles, Meric	* Lovettsville VA 20180
Randles, Kenneth	39998 Catoctin View Lane
Randolph: Kendali B	Lovettsville VA 20180
	Fillston: VA. 20162
Rittner, Dawn	12001 Morningstar Pl Lovettsville VA 20180
Hittner, Hanno	12001 Morningstar Place
	Lovetisvillä VA-20180
Roberts, John R.	County Attorney County of Loudoun
	1 Harrison St., SE/5th Fl.
Rodriguez, Charles R.	Leesburg VA 20175-3102
	Purcellville VA 20132
Rosenthal, Dawn L.	39763 Rivers Edge Lane Lovettsville VA 20180
Rosenthal Glenn K	39763 Hivers Edge Lane
Silverman, Deanna	Lavetisville VA 20180 12011 Morningstar Place
Silvernian, Deamia	Lovettsville VA 20180
Silverman, Jecob "Jay"	12011 Momingstar Place Levettsville VA 20180
Trout, Jeffrey P.	Esquire
	Allegheny Power 800 Cabin Hill Dr
	Greensburg PA 15601
Ulmer Donald A.	37964 Long Lane: Lovertsville VA 20180
Ulmer, Tylee M.	37964 Long Lane
•	Lovettsville VA 20180
Urbany, Alexiandra O.	6507 Anna Marin Coun Molean VA 22101 1601
to the state of th	- Andrew Control of the Control of t

Vanderhye, Robert A.	801 Ridge Dr
	McLean VA 22101-1625
Wallington, James F.	11589 Scott Morgan/Lane
	Eovetsville VA 20180-1868
Wallington, Mary L.	11583 Scott Morgan Lane
3.2,	Lovettsville VA 20180-1868
Williams, Roderick B.	Estulie
	County of Frederick
	TOWN CONTROL OF THE STATE OF TH
	/ Winchester VA 22601
Zwicker, David	12220 Harpers Ferry Rd
	Purcellville VA 20132
Zwicker Louise	12220 Harpers Ferry Rd
	Purcellylle VA 20132