

MPUC Docket No. E-6472-/M-05-1993
OAH Docket No. 12-2500-17260-2

BEFORE THE
MINNESOTA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
100 Washington Square, Suite 1700
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401-2138

FOR THE
MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
127 7th Place East, Suite 350
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

In the Matter of the Petition of Excelsior Energy Inc.
and Its Wholly-Owned Subsidiary MEP-I, LLC For Approval of Terms and
Conditions For The Sale of Power From Its Innovative Energy Project Using
Clean Energy Technology Under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1694 and a Determination
That the Clean Energy Technology Is Or Is Likely To Be a Least-Cost
Alternative Under Minn. Stat. § 216B.1693

PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF
EXCELSIOR ENERGY INC.

THOMAS L. OSTERAAS

SEPTEMBER 5, 2006

1 incorporates all prior testimony filed in this docket by Mr. Michael Wadley.
2 Mr. Stone is available to answer any questions related to the June 19 testimony filed
3 by Mr. Wadley.

4 **Q Please describe the supplemental testimony and documentary evidence**
5 **Excelsior is filing.**

6 A Through the testimony of Renee Sass, Excelsior is filing an analysis of the
7 cost of electricity and any changes to the proposed PPA if Mesaba Unit One is
8 located at the East Range Site. In addition, the supplemental testimony of Renee
9 Sass provides an analysis of the cost of electricity under a 450 MW PPA from each
10 of the West Range Site and the East Range Site, respectively. In order to consolidate
11 certain key cost information in one place, the supplemental testimony of Renee Sass
12 also includes a summary of all key cost data for the “base case” full output PPA from
13 the West Range Site, an alternative 450 MW PPA from the West Range Site, a full
14 output PPA from the East Range Site, a 450 MW PPA from the East Range Site, and
15 the alternative 600 MW Super Critical Pulverized Coal (“SCPC”) plant information
16 originally filed in December 2005. Finally, the supplemental testimony of Renee
17 Sass provides an analysis of certain pricing sensitivities under the proposed “base
18 case” full output PPA from the West Range Site.

19 **Q Please describe the organization of the supplemental testimony of Renee Sass.**

20 A The supplemental testimony of Renee Sass summarizes the conclusions from
21 four separate attachments to her testimony. Exhibit RJS-2 to the Supplemental
22 Testimony of Renee J. Sass is entitled “East Range PPA.” Exhibit RJS-3 to the
23 Supplemental Testimony of Renee J. Sass is entitled “450 MW PPA: West and East

1 Range.” Exhibit RJS-4 to the Supplemental Testimony of Renee J. Sass is entitled
2 “Summary of Key Cost Data for Base Case and Alternative PPAs, and SCPC.”
3 Finally, Exhibit RJS-5 to the Supplemental Testimony of Renee J. Sass is entitled
4 “Pricing Sensitivities under the Proposed Power Purchase Agreement.”

5 Conclusion

6 **Q Does this conclude your supplemental testimony?**

7 **A Yes.**